arXiv:math/0701865v2 [math.DG] 14 Feb 2007

CONNECTEDNESS AT INFINITY OF COMPLETE KÄHLER MANIFOLDS AND LOCALLY SYMMETRIC SPACES

Peter Li and Jiaping Wang

University of California, Irvine
University of Minnesota

February 13, 2007

Abstract. One of the main purposes of this paper is to prove that on a complete Kähler manifold of dimension m, if the holomorphic bisectional curvature is bounded from below by -1 and the minimum spectrum $\lambda_1(M) \geq m^2$, then it must either be connected at infinity or isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times N$ with a specialized metric, with N being compact. Similar type results are also proven for irreducible, locally symmetric spaces of noncompact type. Generalizations to complete Kähler manifolds satisfying a weighted Poincaré inequality are also considered.

Table of Contents.

§0 Introduction
§1 Kähler manifolds with maximum λ_1
§2 Locally Symmetric Spaces
§3 Nonparabolic Ends
§4 Parabolic Ends with $\rho \to 0$

§0 Introduction

One of the purposes of the current paper is to continue our study of Kähler manifolds whose holomorphic bisectional curvature is bounded from below by -1, while the greatest lower bound of its spectrum is bounded from below by a positive constant. For a complete Kähler manifold M^m of complex dimension m, the holomorphic bisectional curvature BK_M is said to have the lower bound $BK_M \geq -1$ if

$$R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} \geq -(1 + \delta_{ij})$$

for all unitary frames $\{e_1, e_2 \ldots, e_m\}$. Under the assumption that $BK_M \geq -1$, the authors proved in [L-W3] a comparison theorem for the Laplacian of the distance function, and as a consequence (Corollary 1.10 of [L-W3]), they established that

---

The first author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0503735. The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0404817.

$$\lambda_1(M) \leq m^2,$$

where $\lambda_1(M)$ is the greatest lower bound for the L^2-spectrum of the Laplacian acting on functions. This theorem can be viewed as an analogue to Cheng's theorem [C] for Kähler manifolds. In the same paper, they also considered the equality case of this estimate on $\lambda_1(M)$ and obtained some partial results concerning the connectedness at infinity for complete Kähler surfaces. Here, we prove a more complete result that holds for all dimensions.

Theorem A. *Let M^m be a complete Kähler manifold of complex dimension $m \geq 2$ with holomophic bisectional curvature bounded by*

$$BK_M \geq -1.$$

If $\lambda_1(M) = m^2$, then either

1. *M is connected at infinity; or*
2. *M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times N$ with N being a compact compact. Moreover, M is covered by the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{CH}^m$ if M has bounded curvature.*

In the process, we also show the following theorem.

Theorem B. *Let M^m be a complete Kähler manifold with its Ricci curvature satisfying*

$$Ric_M \geq -2(m+1).$$

If $\lambda_1(M) > \frac{m+1}{2}$, then M must have only one infinite volume end.

We would like to point out that according to our normalization in [L-W3], the assumption that $BK_M \geq -1$ implies that $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -2(m+1)$. Also, the lower bound $\frac{m+1}{2}$ for $\lambda_1(M)$ in the theorem is best possible as one can find counterexample of the form $M = \Sigma \times N$, where N^{m-1} is a compact Kähler manifold and Σ a complete Riemann surface with more than one infinite volume ends. These examples motivate us to propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture C. *Let M^m be a complete Kähler manifold with Ricci curvature satisfying*

$$Ric_M \geq -2(m+1)$$

and

$$\lambda_1(M) \geq \frac{m+1}{2}.$$

Then either

1. *M has only one infinite volume end; or*
2. *it is the total space of a holomorphic fiber bundle $N \to M \to \Sigma$, with compact totally geodesic fiber N, over a complete Riemann surface Σ. Moreover, $\lambda_1(M) = \frac{m+1}{2}$.*

While these results were motivated by the authors earlier studies, [L-W1] and [L-W2], on Riemannian manifolds where they gave a rather complete picture of similar type theorems, it should be noted that different type of arguments are required here. It turns out the new approaches can be adapted to deal with more general manifolds. In particular, we have an analogous result to Theorem A for locally symmetric spaces.

Theorem D. *Let M be an irreducible locally symmetric space covered by an irreducible symmetric space of noncompact type $\tilde{M} = G/K$. Suppose $\lambda_1(M) = \lambda_1(\tilde{M})$. Then either*

(1) *M is connected at infinity; or*
(2) *it is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times N$ with N being a compact quotient of the horosphere of $\tilde{M}$.*

Many of the aforementioned results can be generalized to complete Kähler manifolds satisfying a weighted Poincaré inequality as considered in [L-W4] for the Riemannian case. One then only requires a pointwise lower bound on the curvatures as opposed to a global one. Let us recall the following definition.

Definition E. *A complete manifold is said to have property ($\mathcal{P}_\rho$) if there exists a positive function ρ such that*

$$\int_M \rho(x)\,\phi(x) \le \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2(x)$$

for all compactly supported smooth function ϕ. Moreover, the conformal metric

$$ds_\rho^2 = \rho\, ds_M^2,$$

given by multiplying the Kähler metric ds_M^2 on M by ρ, is also complete.

The paper is arranged as follows. In §1, we prove Theorem A. In §2, we give a systematic treatment of more general manifolds in the spirit of §1. An important consequence is Theorem D, which deals with arbitrary irreducible locally symmetric spaces of noncompact type.

In §3 and §4, we assume that the complete Kähler manifold satisfies property ($\mathcal{P}_\rho$). As pointed out in [L-W1] and [L-W2], by a scaling argument, the pair of conditions

$$\mathrm{Ric}_M \ge -2(m+1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_1(M) \ge \frac{m+1}{2}$$

is equivalent to the pair of conditions

$$\mathrm{Ric}_M \ge -\frac{\lambda_1(M)}{4} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lambda_1(M) > 0.$$

Written in this form, we can consider the analogue of Theorem B for complete Kähler manifolds with property ($\mathcal{P}_\rho$). In fact, Theorem 3.1 established that if M satisfies

$$\mathrm{Ric}_M \ge -\frac{\rho}{4}$$

and if

$$\rho(x) \to 0 \qquad \text{as} \qquad x \to \infty,$$

then M must have at most 2 nonparabolic ends.[1] Theorem 3.2 deals with more general weight function ρ and contains Theorem B as a special case. Note that when $\lambda_1(M) > 0$

---

[1] We would like to point out that recently Munteanu [M] improved the conclusions of Theorem 3.1 and showed that M has only one nonparabolic end.

then an end being nonparabolic is equivalent to having infinite volume. So this is indeed an analogue of Theorem B.

In §4, we consider the anaolgue of Theorem A for complete Kähler manifolds with property $(\mathcal{P}_\rho)$, where ρ is a nonconstant function in contrast to the situation in §1. In Theorem 4.1, we show that if

$$BK_M \geq -\frac{\rho}{m^2} \tag{0.1}$$

and if

$$\rho(x) \to 0 \qquad \text{as} \qquad x \to \infty,$$

then M must have at most 2 ends providing $m \geq 3$, and M has at most 4 ends if $m = 2$.[2] At this point, we would like to point out that the assumption on BK_M can be relaxed by only assuming that

$$R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}} \geq -1$$

for all $i \neq j$. In other words, we only need to assume a lower bound on the holomorphic bisectional curvatures but not the holomorphic sectional curvatures. We also point out that we do not know how to deal with the case of general Kähler manifolds with property $(\mathcal{P}_\rho)$ when ρ is not assumed to vanish at infinity. On the other hand, we expect that as in the (real) Riemannian case [L-W4], it is difficult to find manifolds satisfying (0.1) and $\rho \to \infty$ at infinity.

§1 KÄHLER MANIFOLDS WITH MAXIMUM λ_1

In this section, we concentrate on the proof of Theorem A. Adopting a similar notation as in [L-W3], we say that the Kähler manifold M has holomorphic bisectional curvature bounded from below by $-C$ for a constant $C > 0$, written as

$$BK_M(x) \geq -C,$$

if its curvature tensor written in any unitary frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ satisfies the bound

$$R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(x) \geq -C(1 + \delta_{ij})$$

for all $x \in M$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Theorem A can now be stated in a more detailed manner.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M^m be a complete Kähler manifold of complex dimension $m \geq 2$ with $\lambda_1(M) > 0$. Suppose the holomorphic bisectional curvature of M is bounded from below by*

$$BK_M(x) \geq -\frac{\lambda_1(M)}{m^2}$$

for all $x \in M$. Then either

(1) *M has only one end; or*

---

[2] Munteanu [M] also improved this theorem by showing that M has only 1 end when $m \geq 3$.

(2) *M is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times N$ with metric*
$$ds_M^2 = dt^2 + \exp(-4t)\,\omega_2^2 + \exp(-2t)\sum_{\alpha=3}^{2m}\omega_\alpha^2,$$
where $\{\omega_2, \ldots, \omega_{2m}\}$ is an orthonormal coframe for a compact manifold N. If $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{2m}\}$ is the orthonormal frame dual to $\{dt, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_{2m}\}$ with $e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, then $Je_1 = e_2$.

In the event that M has bounded curvature, N must be given by a compact quotient of the Heisenberg group. Moreover, M is isometrically covered by the complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^m$.

Proof. By a rescaling of the metric, the assumption on the holomorphic bisectional curvature is equivalent to the pair of assumptions
$$BK_M(x) \geq -1$$
and
$$\lambda_1(M) \geq m^2.$$
For convenience sake, we will use this normalization for the purpose of our proof. According to Theorem 3.2 of [L-W3], we know that M has exactly one nonparabolic end E_1. If M has another end, E_2, then it must be parabolic. Let $p \in M$ be a fixed point such that the compact set $B_p(R_0)$ separates the ends E_1 and E_2, i.e., E_1 and E_2 are two disjoint connected components of $M \setminus B_p(R_0)$. Let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ be a geodesic ray satisfying $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(t) \to E_2(\infty)$, with $E_2(\infty)$ being denoted as infinity of the end E_2. We define the Busemann function β with respect to γ by
$$\beta(x) = \lim_{t\to\infty}(t - r(x, \gamma(t))).$$
The comparison theorem (Theorem 1.6) of [L-W3] asserts that
$$\Delta r(x, \gamma(t)) \leq 2(m-1)\coth(r(x, \gamma(t))) + 2\coth(2r(x, \gamma(t))).$$
Taking $t \to \infty$, we conclude that
$$\Delta\beta \geq -2m.$$

For any point $x \in M$, let us consider the geodesic segment τ_t joining $x = \tau_t(0)$ to $\gamma(t)$. Letting $t \to \infty$, the sequence τ_t converges to a geodesic ray emanating from $x = \tau(0)$ to $E_2(\infty)$. In particular, for a fixed $s > 0$ and a fixed $\epsilon > 0$, by taking sufficiently large t, we have
$$r(\tau_t(s), \tau(s)) \leq \epsilon.$$
Hence, by triangle inequality,
$$\begin{aligned}\beta(\tau(s)) - \beta(\tau(0)) &= \lim_{t\to\infty}(r(\tau(0), \gamma(t)) - r(\tau(s), \gamma(t)))\\ &= \lim_{t\to\infty}(r(\tau(0), \gamma(t)) - r(\tau_t(s), \gamma(t)) + r(\tau_t(s), \gamma(t)) - r(\tau(s), \gamma(t)))\\ &\geq \lim_{t\to\infty}(r(\tau(0), \gamma(t)) - r(\tau_t(s), \gamma(t)) - r(\tau_t(s), \tau(s)))\\ &\geq \lim_{t\to\infty}(r(\tau(0), \gamma(t)) - r(\tau_t(s), \gamma(t))) - \epsilon\\ &= s - \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$\beta(\tau(s)) - \beta(\tau(0)) \geq s. \tag{1.1}$$

However, it is also clear that

$$\begin{aligned}|\beta(\tau(s)) - \beta(\tau(0))| &\leq r(\tau(s), \tau(0))\\ &= s,\end{aligned}$$

hence β is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant 1, and (1.1) implies that, in fact,

$$|\nabla \beta| = 1 \tag{1.2}$$

almost everywhere. In particular, if we define the function $f = \exp(m\beta)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f &= m\, f\, \Delta\beta + m^2\, f\, |\nabla\beta|^2\\ &\geq -m^2\, f.\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

Also, note that (1.1) asserts that β when restricted to τ is a linear function with unit gradient. If x is in $M \setminus B_p(R_0)$ but not in E_2, say $x \in E_1$, then τ must pass through $B_p(R_0)$. Let us denote y to be the first point on τ that intersects $B_p(R_0)$, then (1.1) implies that

$$\beta(y) - \beta(x) \geq r(y, x).$$

Hence

$$\sup_{y\in B_p(R_0)} \beta(y) - \inf_{y\in B_p(R_0)} r(y, x) \geq \beta(x),$$

and combining with (1.2), we conclude that, when restricted on E_1, $-\beta$ is equivalent to the distance function to the set $B_p(R_0)$.

At this point, we would also like to point out that by tracing the proof of the comparison theorem in [L-W3], we actually proved that

$$r_{1\bar{1}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\coth(2r)$$

and

$$r_{\alpha\bar{\alpha}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\coth(r) \qquad \text{for} \qquad \alpha \neq 1,$$

where we have taken unitary frame $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ with

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla r - \sqrt{-1} J \nabla r\right).$$

Hence, using the same notation as in the above discussion, we conclude that

$$\beta_{i\bar{i}} \geq -\frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{for all} \qquad 1 \leq i \leq m, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\{u_i\}$ is a unitary frame with

$$u_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\tau'(0) - \sqrt{-1}J\tau'(0)\right).$$

In particular, writing in terms of a real orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{2m}\}$ with $e_1 = \tau'(0)$, $e_2 = Je_1$, and $e_{2k} = Je_{2k-1}$ for $1 \le k \le m$, then, (β_{ij}), the real hessian of β will satisfy

$$\beta_{11} = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

since β restricted on τ is linear with gradient 1. Also, (1.4) implies that

$$\beta_{(2k-1)(2k-1)} + \beta_{(2k)(2k)} \ge -2 \tag{1.6}$$

for all $1 \le k \le m$. In particular, we conclude that

$$\beta_{22} \ge -2. \tag{1.7}$$

We now claim that inequality (1.3) is indeed an equality. To see this, we apply the Poincaré inequality after multiplying both sides of (1.3) by $\phi^2 f$, where ϕ is a compactly supported nonnegative cut-off function. Integrating by parts, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(M) \int_M \phi^2 f^2 &\le \int_M |\nabla(\phi f)|^2 \\ &= \int_M |\nabla\phi|^2 f^2 + m^2 \int_M \phi^2 f^2 \\ &\quad - \int_M \phi^2 f(\Delta f + m^2 f). \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

We only need to justify that the first term of the right hand side tends to 0 for an appropriate sequence of cut-off function, then the hypothesis on $\lambda_1(M)$ will imply that

$$\int_M f\,(\Delta f + m^2 f) = 0.$$

For $R > R_0$, let us now choose ϕ to be

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad B_p(R) \\ \dfrac{2R - r(x)}{R} & \text{on} \quad B_p(2R) \setminus B_p(R) \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad M \setminus B_p(2R). \end{cases}$$

The first term on the right hand side of (1.8) becomes

$$\int_M |\nabla\phi|^2 f^2 = R^{-2} \int_{(B_p(2R)\setminus B_p(R))\cap E_2} f^2 + R^{-2} \int_{(B_p(2R)\setminus B_p(R))\setminus E_2} f^2. \tag{1.9}$$

To estimate the first term, we use (1.2) for f on E_2 and Theorem 2.1 of [L-W3] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_p(2R)\setminus B_p(R)\cap E_2} f^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^{[R]} \int_{(B_p(R+i)\setminus B_p(R+i-1))\cap E_2} f^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{[R]} e^{2m(R+i)} \left(V_{E_2}(R+i) \setminus V_{E_2}(R+i-1)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{[R]} C_2\, e^{2m(R+i)}\, e^{-2m(R+i-1)} \\ &\leq C_3\, R.\end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

To estimate the second term on the right hand side of (1.9), we use the fact that $-\beta$ is equivalent to the distance function to $B_p(R_0)$ on the other ends and Corollary 1.7 of [L-W3] and get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{(B_p(2R)\setminus B_p(R))\setminus E_2} f^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{[R]} \int_{(B_p(R+i)\setminus B_p(R+i-1))\setminus E_2} f^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{[R]} C_4\, e^{-2m(R+i-1)}\, e^{2m(R+i)} \\ &\leq C_5\, R.\end{aligned} \tag{1.11}$$

Combining (1.9), (1.10), and (1.11), we conclude that

$$\int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, f^2 \leq C_6\, R^{-1}.$$

Letting $R \to \infty$, we conclude our assertion and

$$\Delta f = -m^2 f.$$

In particular, all the inequalities, including (1.6) and (1.7), being used to prove (1.3) are equalities and f must be smooth. This implies that β is smooth with $|\nabla\beta| = 1$ and $\Delta\beta = -2m$. So M is topologically $\mathbb{R} \times N$ where N is diffeomorphic to the level set of β. Since M is assumed to have two ends, N must be compact.

Let us now consider the Bochner formula

$$\Delta|\nabla\beta|^2 = 2\mathrm{Ric}_M(\nabla\beta, \nabla\beta) + 2\langle\nabla\beta, \nabla\Delta\beta\rangle + 2\sum_{i,j} \beta_{ij}^2.$$

Since $|\nabla\beta|^2 = 1$, using the assumption on the curvature and $\Delta\beta = -2m$, we conclude that

$$0 \geq -4(m+1) + 2\sum_{i,j} \beta_{ij}^2. \tag{1.12}$$

Applying (1.5), the equality versions of (1.6) and (1.7), we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i,j}\beta_{ij}^2 \geq \sum_i \beta_{ii}^2 \geq \beta_{22}^2 + \sum_{k+1}^{m-1}(\beta^2_{(2k+1)\,(2k+1)} + \beta^2_{(2k+2)\,(2k+2)}) \\ &\geq 4 + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{m-1}(\beta_{(2k+1)\,(2k+1)} + \beta_{(2k+2)\,(2k+2)})^2 \\ &= 4 + 2(m-1) \\ &= 2(m+1).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining with (1.12), we conclude that all the inequalities are equalities and the Hessian of β is given by

$$(\beta_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, the holomorphic bisectional curvature involving the $u_1 = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - \sqrt{-1}Je_1)$ direction must be of the form

$$R_{1\bar{1}j\bar{j}} = -(1+\delta_{1j}). \tag{1.13}$$

Let us now consider the level set of β given by

$$N_t = \{x \in M \mid \beta(x) = t\}.$$

Since $|\nabla\beta| = 1$, M is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}\times N_0$ and $e_1 = \nabla\beta$ is the unit normal vector to N_t for all t. In particular, we can compute the second fundamental form (h_{ij}) of N_t with respect to the unit normal $\nabla\beta$ using the hessian of β and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_{ij} &= \beta_{ij} \\ &= \begin{cases} -2 & \text{when} \quad i = j = 2 \\ -1 & \text{when} \quad i = j > 2 \\ \ \ 0 & \text{when} \quad i \neq j. \end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Using the set of orthonormal coframe $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{2m}\}$ dual to the orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2m}\}$, we have the first structural equations

$$d\eta_i = \eta_{ij} \wedge \eta_j,$$

where η_{ij} are the connection 1-forms satisfying the condition

$$\eta_{ij} + \eta_{ji} = 0.$$

For $2 \le i, j \le 2m$, since

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{i1}(e_j) &= \langle \nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_1 \rangle \\ &= -h_{ji}\end{aligned}$$

is given by the second fundamental form of N_t, we have

$$\eta_{i1}(e_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for} \quad i \neq j \\ 2 & \text{for} \quad i = j = 2 \\ 1 & \text{for} \quad 3 \le i = j \le 2m. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\eta_{21} = 2\eta_2 \tag{1.16}$$

and

$$\eta_{\alpha 1} = \eta_\alpha \tag{1.17}$$

for all $3 \le \alpha \le 2m$. Also note that for any vector X,

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{\alpha 2}(X) &= \langle \nabla_X e_\alpha, e_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla_X e_\alpha, J e_1 \rangle \\ &= -\langle J \nabla_X e_\alpha, e_1 \rangle \\ &= -\langle \nabla_X J e_\alpha, e_1 \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

hence using $Je_{2k+1} = e_{2k+2}$, $Je_{2k+2} = -e_{2k+1}$ and (1.14), we conclude that

$$\eta_{\alpha 2}(e_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for} \quad j = 1 \\ -1 & \text{for} \quad \alpha = 2k+1,\ j = 2k+2 \quad \text{and for some} \quad 1 \le k \le m-1 \\ 1 & \text{for} \quad j = 2k+1,\ \alpha = 2k+2 \quad \text{and for some} \quad 1 \le k \le m-1 \\ 0 & \text{for} \quad j = 2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\eta_{(2k+1)2} = -\eta_{(2k+2)} \tag{1.18}$$

and

$$\eta_{(2k+2)2} = \eta_{(2k+1)} \tag{1.19}$$

for $1 \le k \le m-1$. The second structural equations assert that

$$d\eta_{ij} - \eta_{ik} \wedge \eta_{kj} = \frac{1}{2} R_{ijkl}\, \eta_l \wedge \eta_k,$$

where R_{ijkl} is the curvature tensor of M. In particular, applying (1.16), (1.17),(1.18), (1.19), and the first structural equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\eta_{12} - \eta_{1\alpha} \wedge \eta_{\alpha 2} &= -2d\eta_2 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \eta_{(2k+1)} \wedge \eta_{(2k+2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \eta_{(2k+2)} \wedge \eta_{(2k+1)} \\ &= -2\eta_{21} \wedge \eta_1 - 2\sum_{\alpha=3}^{2m} \eta_{2\alpha} \wedge \eta_\alpha + 2\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \eta_{(2k+2)} \wedge \eta_{(2k+1)} \\ &= -4\eta_2 \wedge \eta_1 - 2\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \eta_{(2k+2)} \wedge \eta_{(2k+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$R_{1212} = -4, \tag{1.20}$$

$$R_{12(2k+1)(2k+2)} = -2 \tag{1.21}$$

for $1 \le k \le m-1$, and

$$R_{121\alpha} = 0 = R_{122\alpha} \tag{1.22}$$

for $3 \le \alpha \le 2m$. Similarly, for $1 \le k \le m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &d\eta_{1(2k+1)} - \eta_{12} \wedge \eta_{2(2k+1)} - \sum_{\beta=3}^{2m} \eta_{1\beta} \wedge \eta_{\beta(2k+1)} \\ &\qquad = -\eta_{(2k+1)} \wedge \eta_1 - \eta_{(2k+2)} \wedge \eta_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &d\eta_{1(2k+2)} - \eta_{12} \wedge \eta_{2(2k+2)} - \sum_{\beta=3}^{2m} \eta_{1\beta} \wedge \eta_{\beta(2k+2)} \\ &\qquad = -\eta_{(2k+2)} \wedge \eta_1 + \eta_{(2k+1)} \wedge \eta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$R_{1(2k+1)1(2k+1)} = -1, \tag{1.23}$$

$$R_{1(2k+1)2(2k+2)} = -1, \tag{1.24}$$

and

$$R_{1(2k+1)1i} = 0 = R_{1(2k+1)2j}$$

for all $i \neq 2k+1$ and $j \neq 2k+2$. We also have

$$R_{1(2k+2)1(2k+2)} = -1, \tag{1.25}$$

$$R_{1(2k+2)2(2k+1)} = 1, \tag{1.26}$$

and

$$R_{1(2k+2)1j} = 0 = R_{1(2k+2)2i}$$

for all $i \neq 2k+1$ and $j \neq 2k+2$.

Recall that since $|\nabla\beta| = 1$ is constant along each level set N_t, the integral curves for the vector field $\nabla\beta$ are all geodesics. Moreover, the flow $\phi_t : M \to M$ generated by $\nabla\beta$ is a geodesic flow, and ϕ_t maps N_0 to N_t. For a fixed point $p \in N_0$, let τ be the geodesic given by $\tau' = \nabla\beta$. Then the vector fields $V_i(t) = d\phi_t(\bar{e}_i)$ are Jacobi vector fields along τ for $2 \leq i \leq 2m$, where $\bar{e}_i$ denotes the restriction of e_i on N_0.

We claim now that

$$V_2(t) = e^{-2t}\, e_2$$

and for each $3 \leq \alpha \leq 2m$,

$$V_\alpha(t) = e^{-t}\, e_\alpha.$$

In particular, this implies that the metrics on the level surfaces N_t being viewed as a one parameter of metrics on N_0 can then be written in the form

$$ds_t^2 = e^{-4t}\,\omega_2^2 + \sum_{\alpha=3}^{2m} e^{-2t}\,\omega_\alpha^2,$$

where $\{\omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4, \ldots, \omega_{2m}\}$ is the dual coframe to $\{\bar{e}_2, \bar{e}_3, \bar{e}_4, \ldots, \bar{e}_{2m}\}$ at N_0. Hence, the metric of M is given by

$$ds_M^2 = dt^2 + e^{-4t}\,\omega_2^2 + \sum_{\alpha=3}^{2m} e^{-2t}\,\omega_\alpha^2. \tag{1.27}$$

Indeed, since $e_2 = Je_1 = J(\nabla\beta)$ at every point and J commutes with the connection, e_2 must be a parallel vector field along τ. We claim that $V(t) = e^{-2t}\, e_2$ is a Jacobi field along τ. Indeed,

$$\nabla_{\tau'}(e^{-2t}\, e_2) = -2e^{-2t}\, e_2$$

and

$$\nabla_{\tau'}\nabla_{\tau'}(e^{-2t}\, e_2) = 4\, e^{-2t}\, e_2.$$

Also, according to (1.13),

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R_{\tau' e_2}\tau', e_2\rangle &= R_{1212}\\ &= -4.\end{aligned}$$

Equation (1.22) also implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R_{\tau' e_2}\tau', e_\alpha\rangle &= R_{121\alpha}\\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the vector field $V(t)$ satisfies the Jacobi equation

$$\nabla_{\tau'}\nabla_{\tau'}V(t) = -R_{\tau' V}\tau'.$$

On the other hand,

$$V_2(0) = d\phi_0(e_2) = e_2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\tau'}V_2(0) &= \nabla_{V_2}e_1(p)\\ &= \nabla_{e_2}e_1(p)\\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{2m} h_{2j}\, e_j\\ &= -2e_2,\end{aligned}$$

since e_1 and V_2 can be viewed as tangent vectors of a map from a rectangle. Uniqueness of Jacobi field now asserts that $V_2(t) = V(t)$.

For each $3 \le \alpha \le 2m$, the Jacobi fields $V_\alpha(t)$ along the geodesic τ has initial conditions

$$V_\alpha(0) = e_\alpha \tag{1.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\tau'}V_\alpha(0) &= \nabla_{e_\alpha}e_1(p)\\ &= \sum_{j=2}^{2m} h_{\alpha j}\, e_j\\ &= -e_\alpha.\end{aligned} \tag{1.29}$$

The Jacobi equation, (1.20), and (1.22) imply that,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle V_\alpha, e_2\rangle'' &= \langle \nabla_{\tau'}\nabla_{\tau'}V_\alpha, e_2\rangle\\ &= -\langle R_{e_1 V_\alpha}e_1, e_2\rangle\\ &= -R_{1212}\,\langle V_\alpha, e_2\rangle - R_{1\gamma 12}\,\langle V_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle\\ &= 4\langle V_\alpha, e_2\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the initial conditions (1.28) and (1.29), we see that

$$\langle V_\alpha, e_2\rangle(0) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\langle V_\alpha, e_2\rangle'(0) &= -\langle e_\alpha, e_2\rangle(p)\\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

hence we conclude that $\langle V_\alpha, e_2\rangle = 0$ along τ. In particular, V_α belongs to the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ spanned by the vectors $\{e_3, \dots, e_{2m}\}$. Similarly, using (1.22), (1.23), and (1.25) we see that for $3 \le \gamma \le 2m$ with $\gamma \neq \alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle V_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle'' &= -R_{121\gamma}\,\langle V_\alpha, e_2\rangle - R_{1\theta 1\gamma}\,\langle V_\alpha, e_\theta\rangle\\ &= \langle V_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle\end{aligned}$$

with initial conditions

$$\langle V_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle(0) = 0$$

and

$$\langle V_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle'(0) = 0.$$

We conclude that $\langle V_\alpha, e_\gamma\rangle = 0$ along τ. In particular, $V_\alpha = f(t)e_\alpha$ for some function $f(t)$. Since the second fundamental form restricted on the subspace $\mathcal{D}$ is given by the negative of the identity matrix, we conclude that $f(t) = e^{-t}$ and

$$V_\alpha(t) = e^{-t}\, e_\alpha.$$

We will now use (1.27) to compute the curvature tensor of M and hence N_0. For the convenience sake, we substitute $-t$ by t and rewrite (1.27) as

$$ds_M^2 = dt^2 + e^{4t}\,\omega_2^2 + \sum_{\alpha=3}^{2m} e^{2t}\,\omega_\alpha^2.$$

Note first the Guass curvature equation asserts that

$$R_{ijkl} = \bar{R}_{ijkl} + h_{li}h_{kj} - h_{ki}h_{lj},$$

where $\bar{R}_{ijkl}$ is the curvature tensor on N_0. In particular,

$$R_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} \bar{R}_{ijkl} + \delta_{li}\delta_{kj} - \delta_{ki}\delta_{lj} & \text{if} \quad 3 \le i,j,k,l \le 2m \\ \bar{R}_{ijkl} + 2 & \text{if} \quad 2 = i = l \quad \text{and} \quad 3 \le k = j \le 2m \\ \bar{R}_{ijkl} + 2 & \text{if} \quad 2 = k = j \quad \text{and} \quad 3 \le i = l \le 2m \\ \bar{R}_{ijkl} - 2 & \text{if} \quad 2 = i = k \quad \text{and} \quad 3 \le j = l \le 2m \\ \bar{R}_{ijkl} - 2 & \text{if} \quad 2 = j = l \quad \text{and} \quad 3 \le i = k \le 2m \\ \bar{R}_{ijkl} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.30}$$

Since

$$\eta_1 = dt,$$
$$\eta_2 = e^{2t}\,\omega_2,$$

and

$$\eta_\alpha = e^t\,\omega_\alpha \qquad \text{for} \qquad \alpha = 3,\dots 2m,$$

we obtain

$$d\eta_1 = 0, \tag{1.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\eta_2 &= 2e^{2t}\,\eta_1\wedge\omega_2 + e^{2t}\sum_{\alpha=3}^{2m}\omega_{2\alpha}\wedge\omega_\alpha \\ &= -2\eta_2\wedge\eta_1 + e^t\sum_{\alpha=3}^{2m}\omega_{2\alpha}\wedge\eta_\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{1.32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d\eta_\alpha &= e^t\,\eta_1\wedge\omega_\alpha + e^t\,\omega_{\alpha 2}\wedge\omega_2 + e^t\,\omega_{\alpha\beta}\wedge\omega_\beta \\ &= -\eta_\alpha\wedge\eta_1 + e^{-t}\,\omega_{\alpha 2}\wedge\eta_2 + \omega_{\alpha\beta}\wedge\eta_\beta, \end{aligned} \tag{1.33}$$

where ω_{ij} are the connection forms of N_0. In the above and all subsequent computations, we will adopt the convention that $3 \le \alpha,\beta \le 2m$, $2 \le i,j \le 2m$, $2 \le s,t \le m$, and $1 \le p,q \le 2m$.

Note that by (1.18) and (1.19),

$$\omega_{2(2s-1)} = -\omega_{(2s)} \tag{1.34}$$

and

$$\omega_{2(2s)} = \omega_{(2s-1)}. \tag{1.35}$$

Equation (1.32) and (1.33) imply that the connection forms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{12} &= -\eta_{21} \\ &= 2\eta_2, \end{aligned} \tag{1.36}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{1\alpha} &= -\eta_{\alpha 1} \\ &= \eta_\alpha, \end{aligned} \tag{1.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{(2s-1)\beta} &= -\eta_{\beta(2s-1)} \\ &= \begin{cases} \omega_{(2s-1)\beta} + (1-e^{-2t})\,\eta_2 & \text{if} \quad \beta = 2s \\ \omega_{(2s-1)\beta} & \text{if} \quad \beta \ne 2s, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{1.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{(2s)\beta} &= -\eta_{\beta(2s)}\\ &= \begin{cases}\omega_{(2s)\beta} - (1-e^{-2t})\,\eta_2 & \text{if} \quad \beta = 2s-1\\ \omega_{(2s)\beta} & \text{if} \quad \beta \neq 2s-1,\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{1.39}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{2\alpha} &= -\eta_{\alpha 2}\\ &= e^t\,\omega_{2\alpha}.\end{aligned} \tag{1.40}$$

Indeed, if we substitute (1.36) and (1.40) into the first structural equation

$$d\eta_2 = \eta_{21}\wedge\eta_1 + \eta_{2\alpha}\wedge\eta_\alpha$$

we obtain (1.32). Also, using (1.34), (1.35), (1.37), (1.38),and (1.40), we have

$$\begin{aligned}d\eta_{(2s-1)} &= \eta_{(2s-1)1}\wedge\eta_1 + \eta_{(2s-1)2}\wedge\eta_2 + \eta_{(2s-1)\beta}\wedge\eta_\beta\\ &= -\eta_{(2s-1)}\wedge\eta_1 - e^t\,\omega_{2(2s-1)}\wedge\eta_2\\ &\quad + \omega_{(2s-1)\beta}\wedge\eta_\beta + (1-e^{-2t})\,\eta_2\wedge\eta_{(2s)}\\ &= -\eta_{(2s-1)}\wedge\eta_1 + e^{-2t}\,\eta_{(2s)}\wedge\eta_2 + \omega_{(2s-1)\beta}\wedge\eta_\beta,\end{aligned}$$

validating (1.33). A similar computation also validates (1.39)

To compute the curvature, we consider the second structural equations. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}d\eta_{12} - \eta_{1\alpha}\wedge\eta_{\alpha 2} &= 2d\eta_2 - \sum_{s=2}^m \eta_{(2s-1)}\wedge\eta_{(2s)} + \sum_{s=2}^m \eta_{(2s)}\wedge\eta_{(2s-1)}\\ &= -4\eta_2\wedge\eta_1 + 2\sum_{s=2}^m \eta_{(2s-1)}\wedge\eta_{(2s)},\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$R_{12ij} = \begin{cases}-4 & \text{if} \quad i=1, j=2\\ -2 & \text{if} \quad i=2s-1, j=2s\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{1.41}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}d\eta_{1\alpha} - \eta_{12}\wedge\eta_{2\alpha} - \eta_{1\beta}\wedge\eta_{\beta\alpha} &= \eta_{\alpha 1}\wedge\eta_1 + \eta_{\alpha 2}\wedge\eta_2 + \eta_{\alpha\beta}\wedge\eta_\beta - 2\eta_2\wedge\eta_{2\alpha} - \eta_\beta\wedge\eta_{\beta\alpha}\\ &= -\eta_\alpha\wedge\eta_1 - e^t\,\eta_2\wedge\omega_{2\alpha}\\ &= \begin{cases}-\eta_{(2s-1)}\wedge\eta_1 + \eta_2\wedge\eta_{(2s)} & \text{if} \quad \alpha = 2s-1\\ -\eta_{(2s)}\wedge\eta_1 - \eta_2\wedge\eta_{(2s-1)} & \text{if} \quad \alpha = 2s\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$R_{1\alpha ij} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if} \quad i = 1, j = \alpha \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad \alpha = 2s-1, i = 2s, j = 2 \\ -1 & \text{if} \quad \alpha = 2s, i = 2s-1, j = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{1.42}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} &d\eta_{2\alpha} - \eta_{21} \wedge \eta_{1\alpha} - \eta_{2\beta} \wedge \eta_{\beta\alpha} \\ &\quad = \begin{cases} d(e^t\, \omega_{2\alpha}) + 2\eta_2 \wedge \eta_\alpha - e^t\, \omega_{2\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta\alpha} + e^t(1 - e^{-2t})\, \omega_{2(2s)} \wedge \eta_2, & \alpha = 2s-1 \\ d(e^t\, \omega_{2\alpha}) + 2\eta_2 \wedge \eta_\alpha - e^t\, \omega_{2\beta} \wedge \omega_{\beta\alpha} - e^t(1 - e^{-2t})\, \omega_{2(2s-1)} \wedge \eta_2, & \alpha = 2s \end{cases} \\ &\quad = e^t\, \omega_1 \wedge \omega_{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} e^t \bar{R}_{2\alpha ij}\, \omega_j \wedge \omega_i - 2\eta_\alpha \wedge \eta_2 + e^t(1 - e^{-2t})\, \omega_\alpha \wedge \eta_2 \\ &\quad = \begin{cases} -\eta_1 \wedge \eta_{(2s)} + \frac{1}{2} e^t \bar{R}_{2\alpha ij}\, \omega_j \wedge \omega_i - 2\eta_\alpha \wedge \eta_2 + (1 - e^{-2t})\, \eta_\alpha \wedge \eta_2, & \alpha = 2s-1 \\ \eta_1 \wedge \eta_{(2s-1)} + \frac{1}{2} e^t \bar{R}_{2\alpha ij}\, \omega_j \wedge \omega_i - 2\eta_\alpha \wedge \eta_2 + (1 - e^{-2t})\, \eta_\alpha \wedge \eta_2, & \alpha = 2s, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{1.43}$$

where $\bar{R}_{23ij}$ is the curvature tensor of N_0. In particular,

$$K_M(e_2, e_\alpha) = e^{-2t}\, K_0(e_2, e_\alpha) - 1 - e^{-2t}, \tag{1.44}$$

where K_0 is the sectional curvature of N_0, On the other hand, (1.41) and (1.42) together with the Kähler condition imply that the curvature tensor involving the e_2 direction is completely determined.

$$\begin{aligned} K_M(e_2, e_\alpha) &= K_M(e_1, Je_\alpha) \\ &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (1.44), we conclude that

$$K_0(e_2, e_\alpha) = 1$$

for $\alpha = 3, \ldots, 2m$. Equation (1.43) also implies that

$$R_{2\alpha 2\beta} = e^{-2t}\, \bar{R}_{2\alpha 2\beta} \qquad \text{for} \qquad \beta \neq \alpha$$

and

$$R_{2\alpha\beta\gamma} = e^{-t}\, \bar{R}_{2\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

Again, using

$$R_{2(2s)ij} = R_{1(2s-1)ij}$$

$$R_{2(2s-1)ij} = -R_{1(2s)ij}$$

and (1.42), we conclude that

$$R_{2\alpha 2\beta} = 0 \qquad \text{for} \qquad \beta \neq \alpha$$

and

$$R_{2\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0,$$

hence

$$\bar{R}_{2\alpha 2\beta} = 0 \qquad \text{for} \qquad \beta \neq \alpha$$

and

$$\bar{R}_{2\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0.$$

It remains for us to compute the curvature tensor in the directions involving only e_α for $3 \leq \alpha \leq 2m$. Following the computation of the second structural equations, using (1.34), (1.36), (1.37), and (1.40), we have

(1.45)
$$\begin{aligned}
d\eta_{(2s-1)(2s)} &- \eta_{(2s-1)1} \wedge \eta_{1(2s)} - \eta_{(2s-1)2} \wedge \eta_{2(2s)} - \eta_{(2s-1)\gamma} \wedge \eta_{\gamma(2s)} \\
&= d\omega_{(2s-1)(2s)} + 2e^{-2t}\, \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 + (1 - e^{-2t})\, d\eta_2 + \eta_{(2s-1)} \wedge \eta_{(2s)} \\
&\quad + e^{2t}\, \omega_{2(2s-1)} \wedge \omega_{2(2s)} - \omega_{(2s-1)\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma(2s)} \\
&= d\omega_{(2s-1)(2s)} - \omega_{(2s-1)2} \wedge \omega_{2(2s)} - \omega_{(2s-1)\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma(2s)} + 2e^{-2t}\, \eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \\
&\quad - 2(1 - e^{-2t})\, \eta_2 \wedge \eta_1 + (1 - e^{-2t}) e^t\, \omega_{2\gamma} \wedge \eta_\gamma \\
&\quad + \eta_{(2s-1)} \wedge \eta_{(2s)} + (1 - e^{2t})\, \omega_{(2s)} \wedge \omega_{(2s-1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{(2s-1)(2s)ij}\, \omega_j \wedge \omega_i + e^{-2t}\, \eta_{(2s)} \wedge \eta_{(2s-1)} + 2\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \\
&\quad - 2(1 - e^{-2t}) \sum_{r=2}^{m} \eta_{(2r)} \wedge \eta_{(2r-1)} - 2\eta_{(2s)} \wedge \eta_{(2s-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$K_M(e_{2s-1}, e_{2s}) = e^{-2t}\, (K_0(e_{2s-1}, e_{2s}) + 3) - 4. \tag{1.46}$$

Equation (1.45) also implies that

$$R_{(2s-1)(2s)(2r-1)(2r)} = e^{-2t}\, (\bar{R}_{(2s-1)(2s)(2r-1)(2r)} + 2) - 2 \tag{1.47}$$

for $r \neq s$, and

$$R_{(2s-1)(2s)\alpha\beta} = e^{-2t}\, \bar{R}_{(2s-1)(2s)\alpha\beta} \tag{1.48}$$

for $\alpha \neq 2s-1, 2s$, $\beta \neq 2s-1, 2s$, and $\alpha \neq 2r-1$ when $\beta = 2r$. For $r \neq s$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
d\eta_{(2s-1)(2r-1)} &- \eta_{(2s-1)1} \wedge \eta_{1(2r-1)} - \eta_{(2s-1)2} \wedge \eta_{2(2r-1)} - \eta_{(2s-1)\gamma} \wedge \eta_{\gamma(2r-1)} \\
&= d\omega_{(2s-1)(2r-1)} + \eta_{(2s-1)} \wedge \eta_{(2r-1)} + \eta_{(2s)} \wedge \eta_{(2r)} - \omega_{(2s-1)\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma(2r-1)} \\
&\quad - (1 - e^{-2t})\, \eta_2 \wedge \omega_{(2s)(2r-1)} + \omega_{(2s-1)(2r)} \wedge (1 - e^{-2t})\, \eta_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{(2s-1)(2r-1)ij}\, \omega_j \wedge \omega_i - \omega_{(2s)} \wedge \omega_{(2r)} + \eta_{(2s-1)} \wedge \eta_{(2r-1)} + \eta_{(2s)} \wedge \eta_{(2r)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{(2s-1)(2r-1)ij}\, \omega_j \wedge \omega_i - e^{-2t}\, \eta_{(2s)} \wedge \eta_{(2r)} + \eta_{(2s-1)} \wedge \eta_{(2r-1)} + \eta_{(2s)} \wedge \eta_{(2r)},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that the Kähler condition implies that

$$\omega_{(2s)(2r-1)} = -\omega_{(2s-1)(2r)}.$$

This implies that

$$K_M(e_{2s-1}, e_{2r-1}) = e^{-2t}\, K_0(e_{2s-1}, e_{2r-1}) - 1, \tag{4.49}$$

$$R_{(2s-1)(2r-1)(2s)(2r)} = e^{-2t}\, (\bar{R}_{(2s-1)(2r-1)(2s)(2r)} + 1) - 1. \tag{4.50}$$

A similar computation also yields

$$\begin{aligned}
d\eta_{(2s)(2r)} &- \eta_{(2s)1} \wedge \eta_{1(2r)} - \eta_{(2s)2} \wedge \eta_{2(2r)} - \eta_{(2s)\gamma} \wedge \eta_{\gamma(2r)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{(2s)(2r)ij}\, \omega_j \wedge \omega_i + (e^{-2t} - 1)\, \eta_{(2s-1)} \wedge \eta_{(2r-1)} - \eta_{(2r)} \wedge \eta_{(2s)},
\end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$K_M(e_{2s}, e_{2r}) = e^{-2t}\, K_0(e_{2s}, e_{2r}) - 1. \tag{4.51}$$

Finally, we compute for $s \neq r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
d\eta_{(2s-1)(2r)} &- \eta_{(2s-1)1} \wedge \eta_{1(2r)} - \eta_{(2s-1)2} \wedge \eta_{2(2r)} - \eta_{(2s-1)\gamma} \wedge \eta_{\gamma(2r)} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}_{(2s-1)(2r)ij}\, \omega_j \wedge \omega_i + \eta_{(2s-1)} \wedge \eta_{(2r)} - \eta_{(2s)} \wedge \eta_{(2r-1)},
\end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$K_M(e_{2s-1}, e_{2r}) = e^{-2t}\, K_0(e_{2s-1}, e_{2r}) - 1. \tag{4.52}$$

If M has bounded curvature, then equations (4.16), (4.17), (4.18), (4.19), (4.50), (4.51), and (4.52) assert that all the terms involving the e^{-2t} factor must be zero. Hence, we conclude that

$$K_M(e_{2s-1}, e_{2s}) = -4,$$

$$R_{(2s-1)(2s)(2r-1)(2r)} = -2 \qquad \text{for} \qquad r \neq s,$$

$$R_{(2s-1)(2s)\alpha\beta} = 0$$

for $\alpha \neq 2s-1, 2s$, $\beta \neq 2s-1, 2s$, and $\alpha \neq 2r-1$ when $\beta = 2r$. Also,

$$K_M(e_{2s-1}, e_{2r-1}) = -1,$$

$$R_{(2s-1)(2r-1)(2s)(2r)} = -1,$$

$$K_M(e_{2s}, e_{2r}) = -1,$$

and

$$K_M(e_{2s-1}, e_{2r}) = -1.$$

In particular, these determined the whole curvature tensor for M and N_0, and M must have constant holomorphic bisectional curvature, hence must be covered by $\mathbb{CH}^m$. In this case, to see that N is a compact quotient of the Heisenberg group, one first observes that since β has no critical point N must be a compact quotient of a horosphere of $\mathbb{CH}^m$. It is then not difficult to see (see [B-DR]) that a horosphere is given by the Heisenberg group. □

We should take this opportunity to point out that since the lattice consisting of even integers in $\mathbb{R}^{2m-1}$ is a discrete subgroup of the Heisenberg group and their quotient is obviously compact, this gives an example of the existence of case (2) in the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 when M has bounded curvature.

One can also construct an example of case (2) when M has unbounded curvature. Indeed, let us consider $N = S^{2m-1}$ the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^m$ with the induced contact 1-form ω_2, that is, $\omega_2 = J dr$, where J is the standard complex structure on $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let $\{\omega_2, \dots \omega_{2m}\}$ be an orthonormal coframe of N such that $J\omega_{2s-1} = \omega_{2s}$ for $2 \leq s \leq m$. Since the Kähler form on $\mathbb{C}^m$ is given by

$$\omega = r\, dr \wedge \omega_2 + r^2(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 + \cdots + \omega_{2m-1} \wedge \omega_{2m})$$

and $d\omega = 0$, one concludes that

$$d\omega_2 = 2(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 + \cdots + \omega_{2m-1} \wedge \omega_{2m}) \tag{4.53}$$

on $N = S^{2m-1}$. Now, we consider a metric on $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$ given by

$$ds_M^2 = dt^2 + e^{4t}\, \omega_2^2 + e^{2t} \sum_{\alpha=3}^{2m} \omega_\alpha^2 \tag{4.54}$$

with the almost complex structure defined by $J dt = \omega_2$ and $J\omega_{2s-1} = \omega_{2s}$ for $2 \leq s \leq m$. One checks readily that this almost complex structure is integrable. Also, using (4.53), one concludes by direct computation that the Kähler form associated to the metric (4.54), which is given by

$$\omega_M = e^{2t} dt \wedge \omega_2 + e^{2t}(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 + \cdots + \omega_{2m-1} \wedge \omega_{2m}),$$

must be closed. Hence, M is a Kähler manifold. Finally, from the curvature computations carried out above, one sees that it satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1.1.

We remark that this construction works for any compact hypersurface N of a Kähler manifold so long as the induced contact structure on N satisfies (4.53) and N also satisfies a suitable curvature lower bound.

§2 Locally Symmetric Spaces

The argument in §1 can be generalized to the following situation.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M^n be a complete Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Suppose $f : (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function with the property that*

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} f(r) = 2a > 0$$

and

$$\int_{R_0}^{\infty} (f(r) - 2a)\, dr < \infty$$

for some $R_0 < \infty$. Assume that for any point $p \in M$, and if $r(x)$ is the distance function to the point p, we have

$$\Delta r(x) \leq f(r(x))$$

in the weak sense. If M has at least one parabolic end, then

$$\lambda_1(M) \leq a^2.$$

Moreover, if $\lambda_1(M) = a^2$, then let $\gamma(t)$ be a geodesic ray issuing from a fixed point p to infinity of the parabolic end, and the Buseman function

$$\beta(x) = \lim_{t\to\infty} (t - r(\gamma(t), x))$$

with respect to γ must satisfy

$$\Delta\beta = a^2,$$

$$|\nabla\beta| = 1.$$

Hence, M must be homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times N$ for some compact manifold N given by the level set of β.

Proof. We may assume that $\lambda_1(M) > 0$ as otherwise the theorem is trivial. In this case, M is nonparabolic. By assumption that M has at least one parabolic end, let us denote E_2 to be a parabolic end. Then $E_1 = M \setminus E_2$ is a nonparabolic end. Following the argument of Theorem 1.1, let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \to M$ be a geodesic ray with $\gamma(0) = p$ and $\gamma(t) \to E_2(\infty)$. Also, using the inequality on $\Delta r(x)$, we conclude that

$$\Delta\beta \geq -2a \tag{2.1}$$

and β is Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant 1. Setting $f = \exp(a\beta)$ and using (2.1), a direct computation yields

$$\Delta f \geq -a^2 f.$$

Following the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$(\lambda_1(M) - a^2) \int_M \phi^2 f^2 \leq \int_M |\nabla \phi|^2 f^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Assuming the contrary that $\lambda_1 > a^2$, we obtain a contradiction if we can justify the right hand side tends to 0 for a sequence of cut-off functions ϕ unless $\lambda_1(M) = a^2$ and all the above inequalities are equalities.

As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, to estimate the right hand side of (2.2) on the parabolic end E_2, it suffices to show that

$$V_{E_2}(R) \setminus V_{E_2}(R-1) \leq \exp(-2a(R-1)).$$

This follows from the volume estimate (Theorem 2.1 of [L-W3])

$$\begin{aligned} V_{E_2}(R) \setminus V_{E_2}(R-1) &\leq \exp(-2\sqrt{\lambda_1(M)}(R-1)) \\ &\leq \exp(-2a(R-1)). \end{aligned}$$

For the non-parabolic end E_1, we need the fact that

$$B_p(R) \setminus B_p(R-1) \leq \exp(2aR).$$

To see this, using the fact that Δr is the mean curvature $H(\theta, r)$ of $\partial B_p(r)$ in terms of polar coordinates centered at p, we have

$$J(\theta, r) \leq J(\theta, r_0) \exp\left(\int_{r_0}^{r} f(t)\, dt \right),$$

where J is the area element in polar coordinates. Integrating over the variable θ, we have

$$A_p(r) \leq A_p(R_0) \exp\left(\int_{R_0}^{r} f(t)\, dt \right),$$

where $A_p(r)$ denotes the area of the set $\partial B_p(R)$. Hence using the assumption on f, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (V_p(R) - V_p(R-1)) &\leq A_p(R_0) \int_{R-1}^{R} \exp(\int_{R_0}^{r} f(t)\, dt)\, dr \\ &\leq A_p(R_0) \int_{R-1}^{R} \exp(2a(r - R_0) + C)\, dr \\ &\leq C_1\, A_p(R_0) \exp(2aR) \end{aligned}$$

as needed. In conclusion, $\lambda_1(M) \leq a^2$.

Following the proof of Theorem 1.1, if

$$\lambda_1(M) = a^2,$$

then we conclude that

$$\Delta\beta = -2a, \tag{2.3}$$

$$|\nabla\beta| = 1, \tag{2.4}$$

and β has no critical points. In particular, M must be homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times N$ for some compact manifold N.

The Bochner formula together with (2.3) and (2.4) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta|\nabla\beta|^2 \\ &= 2\beta_{ij}^2 + 2\langle\nabla\beta, \nabla\Delta\beta\rangle + 2\mathrm{Ric}_{11} \\ &= 2\beta_{ij}^2 + 2\mathrm{Ric}_{11} \end{aligned}$$

for the unit vector $e_1 = \nabla\beta$. Using (2.4) again, this implies that $\beta_{1i} = 0$ for all i and the second fundamental form II of a level set of β satisfies

$$|II|^2 = -\mathrm{Ric}_{11}. \tag{2.5}$$

On the other hand, the Gauss curvature equation asserts that for $\alpha \neq \tau$,

$$K_N(e_\alpha, e_\tau) = K_M(e_\alpha, e_\tau) + \lambda_\alpha\lambda_\tau$$

for an orthonormal frame $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=2}^n$ on the level set of β that diagonalizes II with corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha=2}^n$. Since the scalar curvature of M is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_M &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Ric}_{ii} \\ &= \mathrm{Ric}_{11} + \sum_{\alpha=2}^n \mathrm{Ric}_{\alpha\alpha} \\ &= 2\mathrm{Ric}_{11} + \sum_{\alpha,\,\tau\neq 1} K_M(e_\alpha, e_\tau) \\ &= 2\mathrm{Ric}_{11} + \sum_{\tau\neq\alpha} K_N(e_\alpha, e_\tau) - \sum_{\tau\neq\alpha} \lambda_\alpha\lambda_\tau. \\ &= 2\mathrm{Ric}_{11} + S_N - \sum_{\tau\neq\alpha} \lambda_\alpha\lambda_\tau, \end{aligned}$$

this implies that

$$S_N - S_M + 2\mathrm{Ric}_{11} = \sum_{\tau \neq \alpha} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\tau. \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, (2.3) and (2.4) assert that

$$H = -2a$$

where H is the mean curvature of the level set of β. Combining with (2.5) and (2.6), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 4a^2 &= H^2 \\ &= |II|^2 + \sum_{\tau \neq \alpha} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\tau \\ &= S_N - S_M + \mathrm{Ric}_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$S_N = 4a^2 + S_M - \mathrm{Ric}_{11} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\sum_{\tau \neq \alpha} \lambda_\alpha \lambda_\tau = 4a^2 + \mathrm{Ric}_{11}.$$

Also note that the inequality

$$|II|^2 \geq \frac{H^2}{n-1}$$

implies that

$$-(n-1)\mathrm{Ric}_{11} \geq 4a^2 \tag{2.8}$$

with equality if and only if $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_\tau$ for all α and τ. $\square$

We first observe that the above theorem allows us to recover a theorem proved in [L-W2].

Corollary 2.2. *Let M^n be a complete manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. Assume that*

$$Ric_M \geq -(n-1)$$

and

$$\lambda_1(M) \geq \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}.$$

Then M must either have no finite volume end or it must be a warped product $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$ with metric given by

$$ds_M^2 = dt^2 + exp(2t)\, ds_N^2,$$

where N is a compact manifold whose metric ds_N^2 has nonnegative Ricci curvature.

Proof. We first observe that the assumption on the Ricci curvature and Laplacian comparison theorem asserts that

$$\Delta r \leq (n-1)\coth r,$$

hence one checks readily that the function $f(r) = (n-1)\coth r$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 with $a = \frac{n-1}{2}$. Therefore we conclude that if M has a parabolic end it must be homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times N$ for some compact manifold. Moreover, since $\lambda_1(M) = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} > 0$, an end being parabolic is equivalent to having finite volume. Also, (2.8) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} -(n-1) &\geq \mathrm{Ric}_{11} \\ &\geq -(n-1). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, (2.8) becomes an equality and we conclude that

$$II = -\left(\delta_{\alpha\tau}\right)$$

is a diagonal matrix. In this case, the metric on M must be of the form

$$ds_M^2 = dt^2 + \exp(-2t)\, ds_N^2.$$

A direct computation shows that the sectional curvatures for the sections span by $\{e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, e_\alpha\}$ is given by

$$K_M(e_1, e_\alpha) = -1.$$

The Gauss curvature equation implies that

$$K_M(e_\alpha, e_\tau) = K_N(e_\alpha, e_\tau) - 1,$$

and hence

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{\alpha\alpha} = \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{\alpha\alpha} - (n-1),$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{\alpha\alpha}$ is the Ricci curvature of N. This implies that

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{\alpha\alpha} \geq 0.$$

The theorem follows by setting t to be $-t$. $\square$

Let us now focus our discussion on a special case of Theorem 2.1 when M is an Einstein manifold with Einstein constant $-\gamma < 0$. In particular, (2.7) and (2.8) become

$$S_N = 4a^2 - (n-1)\gamma$$

and

$$(n-1)\gamma \geq 4a^2.$$

This implies that $S_N \le 0$ with $S_N = 0$ if and only if

$$II = -\frac{2a}{n-1}\delta_{\alpha\tau}.$$

In the case of equality, using the same argument as in the above corollary, we conclude that

$$ds_M^2 = dt^2 + \exp\left(-\frac{4a}{n-1}t\right) ds_N^2,$$

hence

$$K(e_1, e_\alpha) = -\frac{4a^2}{(n-1)^2}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{4a^2}{n-1} &= -\gamma \\ &= \mathrm{Ric}_{\alpha\alpha} \\ &= \overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{\alpha\alpha} - \frac{4a^2}{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that N must be Ricci flat.

The next theorem gives a more detailed description on the conclusion of Theorem D.

Theorem 2.3. *Let M be an irreducible locally symmetric space covered by an irreducible symmetric space of noncompact type $\tilde{M} = G/K$. Suppose $\lambda_1(M) = \lambda_1(\tilde{M})$. Then either*

(1) *M has only one end; or*

(2) *M is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times N$ with metric*

$$ds_M^2 = dt^2 + \sum_{\alpha=2}^{m} \exp\left(-2b_\alpha t\right) \omega_\alpha^2,$$

where $\{\omega_2, \dots \omega_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for a compact manifold N given by a compact quotient of the horosphere of $\tilde{M}$ and b_α are the nonnegative constants such that $\{-b_\alpha^2\}$ are the eigenvalues of the symmetric tensor

$$A_{\alpha\gamma} = R_{1\alpha 1\gamma}$$

for a fixed direction e_1.

Proof. If $\tilde{M}$ is of rank one, then it must be either the real hyperbolic space, the complex hyperbolic space, the quaternionic hyperbolic space, or the Cayley plane. For the case when $\tilde{M}$ is the real hyperbolic space, the theorem follows from the previous work of the authors [L-W1] and [L-W2] as indicated by Corollary 2.2. In this case, the cross section is a flat manifold since M is assumed to have constant -1 curvature and the horosphere of $\mathbb{H}^n$ are simply Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In the case when $\tilde{M}$ is the complex hyperbolic space, this was covered by Theorem 1.1. The remaining two rank one cases given by the quaternionic hyperbolic space and the Cayley plane are separately studied in [K-L-Z] and [Lm].

We may now concentrate our attention on the cases when $\tilde{M}$ is an irreducible symmetric space with rank at least 2. In this case, we first observe that using a formula of Matsushima [Ma] (generalized by Jost and Yau [J-Y] to harmonic maps) we can rule out the existence of a second nonparabolic end for M. Indeed, if M has two nonparabolic ends, then the Tam-Li theory asserts the existence of a nonconstant bounded harmonic function, f, with finite Dirichlet integral. A special case of Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 in [J-Y] now implies that if M is a compact irreducible locally symmetric space of noncompact type with rank at least 2, then the hessian of f must be identically 0. To apply their argument to our situation, we only needs to justify integration by parts in certain steps of their proof. However, as in previous argument in this paper, it is sufficient to check that the norms of the gradient $|\nabla f|$ and the hessian $|f_{ij}|$ are both in L^2. The first follows from the fact that f has finite Dirichlet integral and the second follows from the standard cut-off argument applied to the Bochner formula

$$\Delta|\nabla f|^2 \geq 2\mathrm{Ric}_{ij}\, f_i\, f_j + 2|f_{ij}|^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore we can now conclude that $f_{ij} = 0$. In particular, because

$$|f_{ij}|^2 \geq |\nabla|\nabla f||^2,$$

we conclude that $|\nabla f|$ is identically constant which must be identically 0 as M has infinite volume. Therefore, f is a constant, which is a contradiction.

Assume now that M is an irreducible locally symmetric space of rank at least 2 with one nonparabolic end and at least one parabolic end. We first observe that Theorem 2.1 is applicable here. Indeed, for the geodesic distance function $r(x)$ to a fixed point p on $\tilde{M}$, we may choose a parallel orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ along the normal geodesic $\gamma(t)$ from p to x such that $e_1 = \gamma'(t)$ and $\{e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ diagonizes the curvature tensor $R_{1\alpha 1\mu}$ with corresponding eigenvalues $-b_\alpha^2$, $\alpha = 2, \ldots, n$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\Delta r = \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} b_\alpha \coth(b_\alpha\, r).$$

Also, one computes that

$$\lambda_1(\tilde{M}) = \frac{\left(\sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} b_\alpha\right)^2}{4}.$$

Now it is not difficult to see that Theorem 2.1 can be applied to M with

$$f(r) = \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} b_\alpha \coth(b_\alpha\, r)$$

and

$$2a = \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} b_\alpha.$$

Thus, M has no finite volume end or

$$\Delta\beta = a^2,$$

$$|\nabla\beta| = 1.$$

In the latter case, following the argument as in Theorem 1.1, we fix a level set N_0 of β and consider a geodesic τ given by $\tau' = \nabla\beta$ with $\tau(0) \in N_0$. At the point $\tau(0)$, let us consider the curvature $R_{1\alpha1\mu}$ as a bilinear form restrict on the tangent space of N_0. In particular, there exists an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots e_n\}$ with $e_1 = \tau'$ and $e_\alpha \in TN_0$ for all $2 \leq \alpha \leq n$ such that $\{e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ diagonizes $R_{1\alpha1\mu}$. Since M is an irreducible locally symmetric manifold of noncompact type, the sectional curvature of M must be nonpositive, hence

$$R_{1\alpha1\mu} = -b_\alpha^2\, \delta_{\alpha\mu}$$

for some $b_\alpha \geq 0$. We extend the orthonormal frame along τ by parallel translating the basis $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$. Since M is locally symmetric, the curvature satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial R_{1\alpha1\mu}}{\partial t} &= R_{1\alpha1\mu,1} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$R_{1\alpha1\mu} = -b_\alpha^2\, \delta_{\alpha\mu}$$

along τ. We consider the vector field

$$V_\alpha(t) = \exp(-b_\alpha t)\, e_\alpha$$

and verify that

$$\nabla_{\tau'}\nabla_{\tau'} V_\alpha = b_\alpha^2\, V_\alpha.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\tau' V_\alpha}\tau' &= \exp(-b_\alpha t)\, R_{1\alpha1\alpha}\, e_\alpha \\ &= -b_\alpha^2\, V_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, V_α is a Jacobi field along τ. Since this is true for all $2 \leq \alpha \leq n$, we conclude that the metric on N_t must be of the form

$$ds_t^2 = \sum_{\alpha=2}^{n} \exp(-2b_\alpha t)\, \omega_\alpha^2, \tag{2.10}$$

where $\{\omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha=2}^n$ is the dual coframe to $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=2}^n$ at N_0. In particular, the second fundamental form on N_t must be a diagonal matrix when written in terms of the basis $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha=2}^n$ with eigenvalues given by $\{-b_\alpha\}_{\alpha=2}^n$. Moreover, since the Buseman function β has no critical points, and for any $x_0 \in N$, the curve (t, x_0) is a geodesic line which can be used to define β, the level sets N_t must be a compact quotient of some horosphere on $\tilde{M}$. $\square$

§3 Nonparabolic Ends

In this section, we prove Theorem B. We begin with the following result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M^m be a complete Kähler manifold with complex dimension $m \geq 2$. Assume that M satisfies property $(\mathcal{P}_\rho)$ for some nonzero weight function $\rho \geq 0$. Suppose the Ricci curvature of M is bounded below by*

$$Ric_M(x) \geq -4\rho(x)$$

for all $x \in M$. If ρ satisfies the property

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \rho(x) = 0,$$

then either

1. *M has only one nonparabolic end; or*
2. *M has two nonparabolic ends and it is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \times \bar{M}$ for some compact manifold $\bar{M}$. Moreover, the universal covering $\tilde{M}$ of M is given by the total space of a fiber bundle $N^{m-1} \to \tilde{M} \to \Sigma$ over a Riemann surface Σ. Futhermore, the fibers are totally geodesic, holomorphic submanifolds given by N^{m-1}.*

Proof. Let us assume that M has at least two nonparabolic ends and hence by the theory of Li-Tam [L-T], there exists a bounded harmonic function f with finite Dirichlet integral constructed as in [L-W1]. We may assume that $\inf f = 0$ and $\sup f = 1$ with the infimum of f achieving at infinity of a nonparabolic end E and the supremum of f achieving at infinity of the other nonparabolic end given by $M \setminus E$. In fact, since f has finite Dirichlet integral (see [L-T] and [L-W1]), f must be pluriharmonic [L] and satisfies the improved Bochner formula (see [L-W2])

$$\Delta g \geq -2\rho\, g + g^{-1}\, |\nabla g|^2 \tag{3.1}$$

with $g = |\nabla f|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We will first show that inequality (3.1) is in fact equality. To see this, let us consider ϕ to be a non-negative Lipschitz function with compact support in M. Then

$$\int_M |\nabla(\phi\, g)|^2 = \int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 + 2\int_M \phi\, g\, \langle\nabla\phi, \nabla g\rangle + \int_M \phi^2\, |\nabla g|^2. \tag{3.2}$$

The second term on the right hand side can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \phi\, g\, \langle\nabla\phi, \nabla g\rangle &= \frac{1}{4}\int_M \langle\nabla(\phi^2), \nabla(g^2)\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_M \phi^2\, g\, \Delta g - \frac{1}{2}\int_M \phi^2\, |\nabla g|^2 \\ &= \int_M \phi^2\, \rho\, g^2 - \int_M \phi^2\, |\nabla g|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\int_M \phi^2\, g\, h,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$h = \Delta g + 2\rho\, g - g^{-1}\, |\nabla g|^2.$$

Combining with (3.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M |\nabla(\phi\, g)|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_M \phi^2\, g\, h \\ = \int_M \phi^2\, \rho\, g^2 + \int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 + \int_M \phi\, g\, \langle\nabla\phi, \nabla g\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

By the weighted Poincaré inequality, this becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_M \phi^2\, g\, h \le \int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 + \int_M \phi\, g\, \langle\nabla\phi, \nabla g\rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

Let us choose $\phi = \psi\,\chi$ to be the product of two compactly supported functions. For $0 < \delta < 1$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, we set χ to be

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(0, \delta\epsilon) \cup \mathcal{L}(1-\delta\epsilon, 1) \\ (-\log\delta)^{-1}(\log f - \log(\delta\epsilon)) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon, \epsilon) \cap E \\ (-\log\delta)^{-1}(\log(1-f) - \log(\delta\epsilon)) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(1-\epsilon, 1-\delta\epsilon) \cap (M \setminus E) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(a, b) = \{x \in M \,|\, a \le f(x) \le b\}$. For $R > 0$, we set

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R-1) \\ R - r_\rho & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R) \setminus B_\rho(R-1) \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad M \setminus B_\rho(R), \end{cases}$$

where r_ρ is the geodesic distance function associated to the metric ds_ρ^2. Applying to the first term on the right hand side of (3.3), we obtain

$$\int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 \le 2\int_M |\nabla\psi|^2\, \chi^2\, |\nabla f| + 2\int_M |\nabla\chi|^2\, \psi^2\, |\nabla f|. \tag{3.4}$$

By the assumption on the Ricci curvature, the local gradient estimate of Cheng-Yau [C-Y] (see [L-W2]) for positive harmonic functions asserts that for all $R_0 > 0$,

$$|\nabla f|(x) \le \left((2m-1) \sup_{B(x,R_0)} \sqrt{\rho(y)} + C\, R_0^{-1} \right) f(x), \tag{3.5}$$

where C is a constant depending only on n, and $B(x, R_0)$ is the ball of radius R_0 centered at x with respect to the background metric ds_M^2. Let us now choose $R_0 = (\sup_{B(x,R_0)} \sqrt{\rho})^{-1}$. This choice of R_0 is possible as the function $r - (\sup_{B(x,r)} \sqrt{\rho})^{-1}$ is negative when $r \to 0$ and it tends to ∞ as $r \to \infty$. Let us observe that if $y \in B(x, R_0)$, and if γ is a ds_M^2 minimizing geodesic joining x to y, then

$$\begin{aligned} r_\rho(x,y) &= \int_\gamma \sqrt{\rho(\gamma(t)}\, dt \\ &\leq R_0 \sup_{B(x,R_0)} \sqrt{\rho(y)} \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $B(x, R_0) \subset B_\rho(x, 1)$. Hence (3.5) can be written as

$$|\nabla f|(x) \leq C \left(\sup_{B_\rho(x,1)} \sqrt{\rho} \right) f(x). \tag{3.6}$$

Similarly, applying the same estimate to $1 - f$, we also have

$$|\nabla f|(x) \leq C \left(\sup_{B_\rho(x,1)} \sqrt{\rho} \right) (1 - f(x)). \tag{3.7}$$

At the end E, the first term on the right hand side of (3.4) can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |\nabla \psi|^2 \, \chi^2 \, |\nabla f| &\leq \int_\Omega \rho \, |\nabla f| \\ &\leq \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\Omega \rho^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\Omega = E \cap (B_\rho(R) \setminus B_\rho(R-1)) \cap \mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon, 1 - \delta\epsilon). \tag{3.9}$$

Recall that (2.10) and Corollary 2.3 of [L-W4] assert that

$$\int_{E \cap (B_\rho(R) \setminus B_\rho(R-1))} \rho \, f^2 \leq C \, \exp(-2R) \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\int_{E \cap (B_\rho(R) \setminus B_\rho(R-1))} |\nabla f|^2 \leq C \, \exp(-2R). \tag{3.11}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \rho^2 &\leq S^2(B_\rho(R)) \int_\Omega \rho \\ &\leq S^2(B_\rho(R)) \, (\delta\epsilon)^{-2} \int_\Omega \rho \, f^2 \\ &\leq C \, S^2(B_\rho(R)) \, (\delta\epsilon)^{-2} \, \exp(-2R), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S(B_\rho(R)) = \sup_{B_\rho(R)} \sqrt{\rho}.$$

Hence together with (3.8) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\int_E |\nabla \psi|^2\, \chi^2\, |\nabla f| \leq C\, S(B_\rho(R))\, (\delta\epsilon)^{-1}\, \exp(-2R). \tag{3.12}$$

Using (3.6), the second term on the right hand side of (3.4) at E can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}\int_E |\nabla \chi|^2\, \psi^2\, |\nabla f| \\ &\leq (-\log\delta)^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E\cap B_\rho(R)} |\nabla f|^3\, f^{-2} \\ &\leq C\, S(B_\rho(R+1))\, (-\log\delta)^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E\cap B_\rho(R)} |\nabla f|^2\, f^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Note that the co-area formula and Lemma 5.1 of [L-W4] imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E\cap B_\rho(R)} |\nabla f|^2\, f^{-1} \\ &\leq \int_{\delta\epsilon}^{\epsilon} t^{-1} \int_{\ell(t)\cap E_1\cap B_\rho(R)} |\nabla f|\, dA\, dt \\ &\leq \int_{\ell(b)} |\nabla f|\, dA \int_{\delta\epsilon}^{\epsilon} t^{-1}\, dt\end{aligned}$$

for a fixed level b, where $\ell(t) = \{x \in M \mid f(x) = t\}$. Since

$$\int_{\delta\epsilon}^{\epsilon} t^{-1}\, dt = -\log\delta,$$

together with (3.13), we conclude that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_E |\nabla \chi|^2\, \psi^2\, |\nabla f| \\ &\leq C_1\, S(B_\rho(R+1))\, (-\log\delta)^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Combining with (3.4) and (3.12), we get

$$\int_E |\nabla \phi|^2\, g^2 \leq C_2\, S(B_\rho(R+1))\, \left(\delta^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}\, \exp(-2R) + (-\log\delta)^{-1}\right). \tag{3.15}$$

By first letting $R \to \infty$ and then letting $\delta \to 0$, the right hand side of (3.15) vanishes on E. A similar estimate also works on $M \setminus E$ by using the function $1 - f$ instead.

To estimate the second term on the right hand side of (3.3), we consider

$$2\int_M \phi\, g\, \langle \nabla\phi, \nabla g\rangle = \int_M \psi\, \chi^2\, \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle + \int_M \psi^2\, \chi\, \langle \nabla\chi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle. \tag{3.16}$$

On E, the first term on the right hand side can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E \psi\, \chi^2 \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle &\leq \int_E \psi\, \chi^2 |\nabla\psi|\, |\nabla(g^2)| \\ &\leq \int_\Omega \sqrt{\rho}\, |\nabla(g^2)| \\ &\leq \left(\int_\Omega \rho\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla(g^2)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where Ω is given by (3.9). Note that (3.10) asserts that

$$(\delta\, \epsilon)^2 \int_\Omega \rho \leq C\, \exp(-2R). \tag{3.18}$$

Also, since the Bochner formula (3.1) implies that

$$\Delta(g^2) \geq -4\rho\, g^2,$$

if τ is a nonnegative compactly supported function, then

$$\begin{aligned} -4\int_M \tau^2\, \rho\, g^4 &\leq \int_M \tau^2\, (g^2)\Delta(g^2) \\ &= -2\int_M \tau\, g^2\, \langle \nabla\tau, \nabla(g^2)\rangle - \int_M \tau^2\, |\nabla(g^2)|^2 \\ &\leq 2\int_M |\nabla\tau|^2\, g^4 - \frac{1}{2}\int_M \tau^2\, |\nabla(g^2)|^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\int_M \tau^2\, |\nabla(g^2)|^2 \leq 8\int_M \tau^2\, \rho\, g^4 + 4\int_M |\nabla\tau|^2\, g^4. \tag{3.19}$$

Let us set

$$\tau = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R-2) \cup (M \setminus B_\rho(R+1)) \\ r_\rho - R + 2 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R-1) \setminus B_\rho(R-2) \\ 1 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R) \setminus B_\rho(R-1) \\ R - r_\rho + 1 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R+1) \setminus B_\rho(R). \end{cases}$$

Then (3.19) implies

(3.20)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_\rho(R)\setminus B_\rho(R-1)} |\nabla(g^2)|^2 &\leq C \int_{B_\rho(R+1)\setminus B_\rho(R-2)} \rho\, g^4\\ &\leq C\, S^2(B_\rho(R+1)) \int_{B_\rho(R+1)\setminus B_\rho(R-2)} |\nabla f|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.11) to (3.20) and combining with (3.17) and (3.18), we conclude that

$$\int_E \psi\, \chi^2 \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle \leq C\,(\delta\,\epsilon)^{-1}\, S(B_\rho(R+1))\, \exp(-2R). \tag{3.21}$$

To estimate the second term on the right hand side of (3.16) on E, we integrate by parts and get

(3.22)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_E \psi^2\,\chi\,\langle\nabla\chi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle &= \int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2\,\chi\,\langle\nabla\chi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle\\ &= -\int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2\,\chi\,\Delta\chi\, g^2 - \int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2\, g^2\,|\nabla\chi|^2\\ &\quad - 2\int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E} \psi\,\chi\langle\nabla\psi,\nabla\chi\rangle\, g^2 + \int_{\ell(\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2\,\chi\,\chi_\nu\, g^2\\ &\quad - \int_{\ell(\delta\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2\,\chi\,\chi_\nu\, g^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $|\nabla f|\,\nu = \nabla f$. Using the definition of χ and (3.6), the two boundary terms can be estimated by

(3.23)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\ell(\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2\,\chi\,\chi_\nu\, g^2 - \int_{\ell(\delta\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2\,\chi\,\chi_\nu\, g^2 &= (-\log\delta)^{-1} \int_{\ell(\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2 f_\nu\, f^{-1}\, g^2\\ &\leq C\, S(B_\rho(R+1))\,(-\log\delta)^{-1} \int_{\ell(\epsilon)} \psi^2|\nabla f|\\ &\leq C\, S(B_\rho(R+1))\,(-\log\delta)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

We can also estimate the term

(3.24)

$$\begin{aligned}-\int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2\,\chi\,\Delta\chi\, g^2 &= (-\log\delta)^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)\cap E} \psi^2\, g^2\,(\log f - \log\delta\epsilon)|\nabla f|^2\, f^{-2}\\ &\leq C\, S(\bar\Omega)\,(-\log\delta)^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)\cap E} \psi^2\,(\log f - \log\delta\epsilon)|\nabla f|^2\, f^{-1}\\ &\leq C\, S(\bar\Omega)\,(-\log\delta)^{-2} \int_{\delta\epsilon}^{\epsilon} (\log t - \log\delta\epsilon)\, t^{-1}\, dt\\ &\leq \frac{C}{2}\, S(\bar\Omega),\end{aligned}$$

where $S(\bar{\Omega}) = \sup_{\bar{\Omega}} \sqrt{\rho}$ with $\bar{\Omega} = \{x \in M \,|\, r_\rho(x, B_\rho(R) \cap \mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon, \epsilon)) \leq 1\}$. Finally, Schwarz inequality implies that

$$-\int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E} \psi^2\, g^2\, |\nabla\chi|^2 - 2\int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)\cap E} \psi\,\chi\, \langle\nabla\psi, \nabla\chi\rangle\, g^2 \leq \int_{\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon,\epsilon)} \chi^2\, g^2 |\nabla\psi|^2.$$

Hence combining with(3.12), (3.23) and (3.24), we deduce that (3.22) has the estimate

$$\int_E \psi^2\,\chi\,\langle\nabla\chi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle \leq C\, S(B_\rho(R+1))(-\log\delta)^{-1} + \frac{C}{2}\, S(\bar{\Omega}). \tag{3.25}$$

Note that since f is harmonic with $\inf_M f = 0$, the maximum principle asserts that for any fixed compact set Ω, the set $\mathcal{L}(\delta\epsilon, \epsilon)$ must not intersect Ω when ϵ is sufficiently small. Hence using the assumptions that ds_ρ^2 is complete and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \rho(x) = 0,$$

we conclude that

$$S(\bar{\Omega}) \to 0$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$. This implies that (3.25) becomes

$$\int_E \psi^2\,\chi\,\langle\nabla\chi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle \leq C\, S(B_\rho(R+1))(-\log\delta)^{-1}$$

which tends to 0 on E by letting $\delta \to 0$. Again, a similar argument yields the vanishing of this term on $M \setminus E$. This proves that h must be identically 0.

Tracing through the proof of (3.1), the equality of (3.1) implies that all the inequalities used in the proof must be equalities. In particular, if $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{2m}\}$ is an orthonormal frame such that

$$|\nabla f|\, e_1 = \nabla f \tag{3.26}$$

and

$$Je_1 = e_2, \tag{3.27}$$

then the Hessian of f must satisfy

$$f_{\alpha\beta}(x) = 0 \tag{3.28}$$

for all $3 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2m$ and for all x such that $\nabla f(x) \neq 0$. We also have

$$f_{11} = -f_{22}.$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{Ric}_M(e_1, e_1)(x) = -\rho(x)$ for all $x \in M$.

Since f is pluriharmonic, locally f can be taken to be the real part of holomorphic function F. In fact, when lifted to the universal covering $\tilde{M}$ of M, F is globally defined. The Cauchy-Riemann equations, (3.26), and (3.27) imply that the level set of F is orthogonal to e_1 and e_2. Moreover, (3.28) asserts that the second fundamental form of the level set of F with respect to e_1 is identically 0. Taking J of this, we conclude that the second fundamental form of the level set of F with respect to e_2 is also identically 0. Hence, the level sets of F are totally geodesic at noncritical points of f. This gives a totally geodesic holomorphic fibration of $\tilde{M}$ with fibers given by the level sets of F denoted by N. $\square$

The following theorem deals with the case when ρ is just bounded. Without the help of $\rho \to 0$ at infinity, we need to impose a stricter assumption on the curvature.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M^m be a complete Kähler manifold with complex dimension $m \geq 2$. Assume that M satisfies property $(\mathcal{P}_\rho)$ for some weight function $\rho \geq 0$. Suppose the Ricci curvature of M is bounded below by*

$$Ric_M(x) \geq -4(1-\epsilon)\rho(x)$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$ and for all $x \in M$. If ρ satisfies

$$\liminf_{R\to\infty} \frac{S(B_\rho(R))}{R} = 0,$$

where $S(B_\rho(R)) = \sup_{B_\rho(R)} \sqrt{\rho}$, then M must have only one nonparabolic end.

Proof. Following a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we assume that M has at least two nonparabolic ends and we construct a bounded harmonic function f. Again using $g = |\nabla f|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have a Bochner formula similar to (3.1), which now takes the form

$$\Delta g \geq -2(1-\epsilon)\,\rho\, g + g^{-1}\,|\nabla g|^2.$$

Inequality (3.3) will now take the form

$$\int_M \phi\, g\, h + 2\epsilon \int_M \phi^2\, \rho\, g^2 \leq 2\int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 + 2\int_M \phi\, g\, \langle\nabla\phi,\, \nabla g\rangle,$$

with $h = \Delta g + 2(1-\epsilon)\rho\, g - g^{-1}|\nabla g|^2$ which is nonnegative. Hence we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon \int_M \phi^2\, \rho\, g^2 &\leq \int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 + \int_M \phi\, g\, \langle\nabla\phi,\, g\rangle \\ &\leq (1+\frac{2}{\epsilon})\int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\int_M \phi^2\, \rho\, g^2\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\int_M \phi^2\, \rho\, g^2 \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon}(1+\frac{2}{\epsilon})\int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2.$$

To get a contradiction, we need only to show that the right hand side tends to 0 with the appropriate cut-off function ϕ. Using the same cut-off function $\phi = \chi\,\psi$ as before and applying the estimates (3.12) and (3.14), we conclude that

$$\int_M \nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 \leq C\, S(B_\rho(R+1))\,((\delta\epsilon)^{-1}\, \exp(-2R) + (-\log\delta)^{-1}) \tag{3.29}$$

where C is a constant independent of ρ. First we choose a sequence $R_i \to \infty$ with the property that

$$q(R_i) = \sqrt{\frac{S(B_\rho(R_i+1))}{R_i}} \to 0$$

and then by setting $\delta = \epsilon = \exp(-R_i\, q(R_i))$, the right hand side of (3.29) goes to 0. The theorem now follows. □

Theorem B now follows as a corollary of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. *Let M^m be a complete Kähler manifold satsifying*

$$Ric_M > -\frac{\lambda_1(M)}{4}.$$

Then M must have only one infinite volume end.

We now claim that the value $\frac{\lambda_1(M)}{4}$ is best possible due to the following class of examples. Consider manifolds of the form $M = N \times \Sigma$, where N^{m-1} is a compact $(m-1)$-dimensional Kähler manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below by $\mathrm{Ric}_N \geq -1$ and Σ a Riemann surface with constant sectional curvature -1. Moreover, we assume that $\lambda_1(\Sigma) = \frac{1}{4}$. It is easy to see that $\lambda_1(M) = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq -1$. However, it is known [L-W2] that Σ can have more than one infinte volume ends. One such case is $\Sigma = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with the warped product metric given by $ds_\Sigma^2 = dt^2 + \coth^2 t\, d\theta^2$. This example shows that the bound on the Ricci curvature in Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 is sharp.

§4 PARABOLIC ENDS WITH $\rho \to 0$

In this section, we will consider the corresponding theorem to Theorem A for Kähler manifolds with property $(\mathcal{P}_\rho)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let M^m be a complete Kähler manifold of dimension $m \geq 2$ with property $(\mathcal{P}_\rho)$. Suppose the holomorphic bisectional curvature of M is bounded from below by*

$$R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(x) \geq -\frac{\rho(x)}{m^2} \qquad \text{for all} \qquad i \neq j,$$

$x \in M$, and for all unitary frame $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots e_m\}$. If ρ satisfies the property that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \rho(x) = 0,$$

then M has at most 2 ends if $m \geq 3$.

In the case when $m = 2$, M has at most 4 ends. Moreover, if M has exactly 4 ends, then

$$R_{i\bar{i}j\bar{j}}(x) = -\frac{\rho(x)}{4} \qquad \text{for all} \qquad i \neq j.$$

Proof. Note that by [L-W4] the existence of the weight function ρ asserts that M must be nonparabolic. On the other hand, Theorem 3.2 implies that M must have only one nonparabolic end. If M has k ends, with $k > 1$, then M must have $k-1$ parabolic ends. The theory of Li-Tam [L-T] asserts that for each parabolic end E, one can construct a positive harmonic function f such that

$$\limsup_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty \qquad \text{with} \qquad x \in E$$

and f is bounded on $M \setminus E$ and

$$\liminf_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0 \qquad \text{with} \qquad x \in M \setminus E.$$

According to Lemma 4.1 of [L-W3], if we let $g = |f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then it satisfies the inequality

$$\Delta g \geq -\frac{2}{m}\,\rho\, g - \frac{m-2}{m}\, g^{-1}|\nabla g|^2. \tag{4.1}$$

Similar to Theorem 3.1, we will show that this inequality is indeed an equality. Following the argument of Theorem 3.1, by applying the weighted Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{m}{2}\int_M \phi^2\, g\, h \leq -(m-2)\int_M \phi\, g\,\langle \nabla\phi, \nabla g\rangle + \int_M |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$h = \Delta g + \frac{2}{m}\,\rho\, g + \frac{m-2}{m}\, g^{-1}|\nabla g|^2.$$

We need to choose the cut-off function ϕ so that the right hand side of (4.2) tends to 0 and conclude that h must be identically 0.

Note that by a theorem of Nakai [N] (also see [N-R]), the positive harmonic function f can be taken to be proper on the parabolic end E, i.e.,

$$\liminf_{x\to\infty} f(x) = \infty$$

for $x \in E$. To deal with the right hand side of (4.2) on E, we define

$$\phi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(0, 2T)\cap E \\ T^{-1}(3T - f) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(2T, 3T)\cap E \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(3T, \infty)\cap E. \end{cases}$$

After integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_E \phi\, g\,\langle \nabla\phi\, \nabla g\rangle &= -\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} \langle \nabla\phi^2, \nabla g^2\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} \Delta(\phi^2) g^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\ell(2T)\cap E} \phi_\nu\, g^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where ν is the unit normal to the level set $\ell(2T)$ given by $|\nabla f|\,\nu = \nabla f$. Using the definition of ϕ, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} \Delta(\phi^2)\, g^2 &= 2\int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 + 2\int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} \phi\,\Delta\phi\, g^2 \\ &= 2T^{-2}\int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |\nabla f|^2\, g^2 \\ &\leq 2T^{-2}\left(\int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |\nabla f|^4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Applying the assumption on the curvature and the gradient estimate (3.6), we conclude that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\nabla f|(x) \leq C\, S(N_1(\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E))\, f(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E$, where

$$S(N_1(\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E)) = \sup_{N_1(\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E))} \sqrt{\rho}$$

with the supremum taken over the set

$$N_1(\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E) = \{x \,|\, r_\rho(x, \mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E) \leq 1\}.$$

Also, since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathcal{L}(1,t)\cap E} \Delta f \\ &= -\int_{\ell(1)\cap E} f_\nu + \int_{\ell(t)\cap E} f_\nu \\ &= -\int_{\ell(1)\cap E} |\nabla f| + \int_{\ell(t)\cap E} |\nabla f| \end{aligned}$$

where ν is the outward pointing unit normal vector to $\ell(1)$ and $\ell(t)$, together with the co-area formula, the first integral on the right hand side of (4.4) can be estimated by

(4.5)
$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |\nabla f|^4 &\leq \int_{2T}^{3T} \int_{\ell(t)\cap E} |\nabla f|^3 \, dA(t)\, dt \\ &\leq C\, S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E)) \int_{2T}^{3T} t^2 \int_{\ell(t)\cap E} |\nabla f| \, dA(t)\, dt \\ &\leq C_1\, S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E))\, T^3. \end{aligned}$$

We will now estimate the second integral on the right hand side of (4.4). Let us observe that the Bochner formula asserts that

$$\Delta |\nabla f|^2 \geq 2|f_{ij}|^2 - 2m^{-2}\rho\, |\nabla f|^2,$$

where (f_{ij}) is the real Hessian of f. Multiplying with a cut-off function φ^2 and integrating by parts yield

$$\int_M \varphi^2\, \Delta|\nabla f|^2 \geq 2\int_M \varphi^2\, |f_{ij}|^2 - 2m^{-2} \int_M \varphi^2\, \rho\, |\nabla f|^2. \tag{4.6}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M \varphi^2\,\Delta|\nabla f|^2 &= -2\int_M \varphi\,|\nabla f|\,\langle\nabla\varphi,\nabla|\nabla f|\rangle\\ &\leq \int_M \varphi^2\,|\nabla|\nabla f||^2 + \int_M |\nabla\varphi|^2\,|\nabla f|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$|f_{ij}|^2 \geq |\nabla|\nabla f||^2$$

and combining with (4.6), we obtain

$$2m^{-2}\int_M \varphi^2\,\rho\,|\nabla f|^2 + \int_M |\nabla\varphi|^2\,|\nabla f|^2 \geq \int_M \varphi^2\,|f_{ij}|^2. \tag{4.7}$$

Choosing

$$\varphi = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(0,T)\cup\mathcal{L}(4T,\infty)\cup(M\setminus E)\\ T^{-1}(f-T) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(T,2T)\cap E\\ 1 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E\\ T^{-1}(4T-f) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(3T,4T)\cap E,\end{cases}$$

we conclude that

$$2m^{-2}\int_{\mathcal{L}(T,4T)\cap E} \rho\,|\nabla f|^2 + T^{-2}\int_{\mathcal{L}(T,4T)\cap E} |\nabla f|^4 \geq \int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |f_{ij}|^2. \tag{4.8}$$

By applying (4.5) to the second term on the left hand side and using

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{L}(T,4T)\cap E} \rho\,|\nabla f|^2 &\leq S^2(\mathcal{L}(T,4T)\cap E)\int_{\mathcal{L}(T,4T)\cap E} |\nabla f|^2\\ &\leq S^2(\mathcal{L}(T,4T)\cap E)\int_T^{4T}\int_{\ell(t)} |\nabla f|\,dA(t)\,dt\\ &= C_1\,T\,S^2(\mathcal{L}(T,4T)\cap E),\end{aligned}$$

(4.8) becomes

$$C_1\,S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(T,4T)\cap E))\,T \geq \int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |f_{ij}|^2.$$

Combining with (4.5) and (4.4), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} \Delta(\phi^2)g^2 \leq C_2\,S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(T,4T)\cap E)). \tag{4.9}$$

The second term of the right hand side of (4.3) can be estimated by writing it as

$$\int_{\ell(2T)\cap E} \phi_\nu\,g^2 = T^{-1}\int_{\ell(2T)\cap E} |\nabla f|\,|f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|.$$

However, the mean value theorem asserts that there exists a $T' \in [2T, 3T]$ such that

$$T^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |\nabla f|^2 \, |f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}| = \int_{\ell(T')\cap E} |\nabla f| \, |f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|.$$

The estimate we use for (4.4) implies that

$$T^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |\nabla f|^2 \, |f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}| \leq C_2 \, S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(T, 4T) \cap E)) \tag{4.10}$$

which tends to 0 as $T \to \infty$ by the assumption on ρ. Hence we conclude that there exists a sequence of $T' \to \infty$ such that

$$\int_{\ell(2T')\cap E} \phi_\nu \, g^2 \to 0.$$

Together with (4.9), we conclude that the right hand side of (4.3) tends to 0 as $T \to \infty$.

The second term on the right hand side of (4.2) is given by

$$\int_E |\nabla\phi|^2 \, g^2 = T^{-2} \int_{\mathcal{L}(2T,3T)\cap E} |\nabla f|^2 \, |f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|$$

and tends to 0 by (4.10).

We will now consider (4.2) on the remaining manifold $M \setminus E$. Note that the properness of f on E implies that the sublevel set $\mathcal{L}(0, 4\epsilon) \cap E = \emptyset$ for sufficiently small ϵ. By taking $M \setminus E$ as a nonparabolic end, we choose $\phi = \psi \, \chi$ as in Theorem 5.2 of [L-W4]. In particular, we set

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(0, 2\epsilon) \\ \epsilon^{-1}(f - 2\epsilon) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(2\epsilon, 3\epsilon) \\ 1 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(3\epsilon, \infty) \cap (M \setminus E), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R-1) \cap (M \setminus E) \\ R - r_\rho & \text{on} \quad (B_\rho(R) \setminus B_\rho(R-1)) \cap (M \setminus E) \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad M \setminus (B_\rho(R) \cup E) \end{cases}$$

To estimate the first term on the right hand side of (4.2) on $M \setminus E$, we write

$$-2\int_{M\setminus E} \phi \, g \, \langle \nabla\phi \, \nabla g \rangle = -\int_{M\setminus E} \psi \, \chi^2 \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla(g^2) \rangle - \int_{M\setminus E} \psi^2 \, \chi \langle \nabla\chi, \nabla(g^2) \rangle, \tag{4.11}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} -\int_{M\setminus E} \psi \, \chi^2 \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla(g^2) \rangle &\leq \int_{M\setminus E} \psi \, \chi^2 |\nabla\psi| \, |\nabla(g^2)| \\ &\leq \int_\Omega \sqrt{\rho} \, |\nabla(g^2)| \\ &\leq \left(\int_\Omega \rho\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla(g^2)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$\Omega = (B_\rho(R) \setminus B_\rho(R-1)) \cap \mathcal{L}(2\epsilon, \infty) \cap (M \setminus E).$$

Note that the estimate (2.9) of [L-W4] asserts that

$$4\epsilon^2 \int_\Omega \rho \leq C \exp(-2R). \tag{4.13}$$

Also, since the Bochner formula (4.1) implies that

$$\Delta(g^2) \geq -\frac{4}{m}\rho\, g^2 + \frac{4}{m}|\nabla g|^2,$$

if τ is a nonnegative compactly supported function, then

$$\begin{aligned} -4m^{-1}\int_M \tau^2\, \rho\, g^4 + m^{-1}\int_M \tau^2\, |\nabla(g^2)|^2 &\leq \int_M \tau^2\, (g^2)\Delta(g^2) \\ &= -2\int_M \tau\, g^2\, \langle \nabla\tau, \nabla(g^2)\rangle - \int_M \tau^2\, |\nabla(g^2)|^2 \\ &\leq \int_M |\nabla\tau|^2\, g^4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_M \tau^2\, |\nabla(g^2)|^2 \leq 4\int_M \tau^2\, \rho\, g^4 + m\int_M |\nabla\tau|^2\, g^4. \tag{4.14}$$

Let us set

$$\tau = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R-2) \cup (M \setminus B_\rho(R+1)) \\ r_\rho - R + 2 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R-1) \setminus B_\rho(R-2) \\ 1 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R) \setminus B_\rho(R-1) \\ R - r_\rho + 1 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R+1) \setminus B_\rho(R). \end{cases}$$

Then (4.14) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\rho(R)\setminus B_\rho(R-1)} |\nabla(g^2)|^2 &\leq C\int_{B_\rho(R+1)\setminus B_\rho(R-2)} \rho\, g^4 \\ &\leq C\, S^2(B_\rho(R+1) \setminus B_\rho(R-2)) \int_{B_\rho(R+1)\setminus B_\rho(R-2)} |\nabla f|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Applying Corollary 2.3 of [L-W4] to (4.15) and combining with (4.12) and (4.13), we conclude that

$$-\int_M \psi\, \chi^2 \langle \nabla\psi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle \leq C\, \epsilon^{-1}\, S(B_\rho(R+1) \setminus B_\rho(R-2)) \exp(-2R). \tag{4.16}$$

To estimate the second term on the right hand side of (4.11), we integrate by parts and get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(4.17)\\
&-\int_{M\setminus E}\psi^2\,\chi\,\langle\nabla\chi,\nabla(g^2)\rangle = -\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap(M\setminus E)}\psi^2\,\chi\,\langle\nabla\chi,\nabla(g^2)\rangle\\
&\qquad = \int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap(M\setminus E)}\psi^2\,\chi\,\Delta\chi\,g^2 + \int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap(M\setminus E)}\psi^2\,g^2\,|\nabla\chi|^2\\
&\qquad\quad + 2\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap(M\setminus E)}\psi\,\chi\langle\nabla\psi,\nabla\chi\rangle\,g^2 - \int_{\ell(3\epsilon)\cap(M\setminus E)}\psi^2\,\chi\,\chi_\nu\,g^2\\
&\qquad\quad + \int_{\ell(2\epsilon)\cap(M\setminus E)}\psi^2\,\chi\,\chi_\nu\,g^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $|\nabla f|\,\nu = \nabla f$. Using the definition of χ, the two boundary terms become

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\ell(3\epsilon)\cap(M\setminus E)}\psi^2\,\chi\,\chi_\nu\,g^2 + \int_{\ell(2\epsilon)\cap(M\setminus E)}\psi^2\,\chi\,\chi_\nu\,g^2 &= -\epsilon^{-1}\int_{\ell(3\epsilon)\cap(M\setminus E)}\psi^2 f_\nu\,f^{-1}\,g^2\\
&\le 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.17) becomes

$$-\int_{M\setminus E}\psi^2\,\chi\,\langle\nabla\chi,\nabla(g^2)\rangle \le 2\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)}\psi^2\,g^2\,|\nabla\chi|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)}\chi^2\,|\nabla\psi|^2\,g^2, \tag{4.18}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\Delta\chi = 0 \qquad \text{on} \qquad \mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon).$$

We will now estimate the first term on the right hand side of (4.18). Using the definition of χ, ψ and g, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(4.19)\\
&\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)}\psi^2\,g^2\,|\nabla\chi|^2 \le \epsilon^{-2}\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)}|\nabla f|^2\,|f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|\\
&\qquad \le \epsilon^{-2}\left(\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)}|\nabla f|^4\right)^{\frac12}\left(\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)}|f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|^2\right)^{\frac12}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the gradient estimate, the term

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)}|\nabla f|^4 &\le C\,S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)))\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)}f^2\,|\nabla f|^2\\
&\le C_1\,\epsilon^3\,S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)))
\end{aligned}$$

and (4.19) becomes

$$\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)} \psi^2\, g^2\, |\nabla \chi|^2 \leq C_1\, \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R))) \left(\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)} |f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.20}$$

The second term on the right hand side of (4.18) can be estimated by

(4.21)

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)} \chi^2\, |\nabla\psi|^2\, g^2 &\leq \int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap(B_\rho(R)\setminus B_\rho(R-1))} \rho\, |f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}| \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap(B_\rho(R)\setminus B_\rho(R-1))} \rho^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)} |f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq S(B_\rho(R)\setminus B_\rho(R-1))\, (2\epsilon)^{-1}\, \exp(-R) \left(\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)} |f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

We will now estimate the term involving the complex Hessian. Using (4.7) by setting $\varphi = \tau\,\eta$ with

$$\tau = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(0,\epsilon)\cup(\mathcal{L}(4\epsilon,\infty)\cap(M\setminus E)) \\ \epsilon^{-1}(f-\epsilon) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(\epsilon,2\epsilon) \\ 1 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon) \\ \epsilon^{-1}(4\epsilon - f) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(3\epsilon,4\epsilon), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R)\cap(M\setminus E) \\ R+1-r_\rho & \text{on} \quad (B_\rho(R+1)\setminus B_\rho(R))\cap(M\setminus E) \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad M\setminus B_\rho(R+1), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon,3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R)} |f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 &\leq \int_M \tau^2\,\eta^2\,|f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}|^2 \\ &\leq 2m^{-2}\int_M \tau^2\,\eta^2\,\rho\,|\nabla f|^2 + 2\int_M |\nabla\tau|^2\,\eta^2\,|\nabla f|^2 + 2\int_M \tau^2\,|\nabla\eta|^2\,|\nabla f|^2 \\ &\leq 2(m^{-2}+1)\int_{\mathcal{L}(\epsilon,4\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R+1)} \rho\,|\nabla f|^2 + 2\epsilon^{-2}\int_{\mathcal{L}(\epsilon,4\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R+1)} |\nabla f|^4 \\ &\leq C_1\, S^2(\mathcal{L}(\epsilon,4\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R+1)) \int_{\mathcal{L}(\epsilon,4\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R+1)} |\nabla f|^2 \\ &\qquad + C\, S(N_1(\mathcal{L}(\epsilon,4\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R+1)))\,\epsilon^{-2}\int_{\mathcal{L}(\epsilon,4\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R+1)} f^2\,|\nabla f|^2 \\ &\leq C_2\,\epsilon\, S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(\epsilon,4\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R+1))).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining with (4.18), (4.20) and (4.21), we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{M\setminus E} \psi^2\, \chi\, \langle \nabla\chi, \nabla(g^2)\rangle &\le C_3\, S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon, 3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R))) \\
&\quad + C\,\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, e^{-R}\, S(N_1(\mathcal{L}(\epsilon, 4\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R+1)))\, S(B_\rho(R)\setminus B_\rho(R-1)).
\end{aligned}
$$

Together with (4.16) (4.11), the first term on the right hand side of (4.2) can be estimated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-2\int_{M\setminus E} \phi\, g\, \langle \nabla\phi, \nabla g\rangle \\
&\qquad \le C\,\epsilon^{-1}\, S(B_\rho(R+1)\setminus B_\rho(R-2))\, \exp(-2R) + C_3\, S^2(N_1(\mathcal{L}(2\epsilon, 3\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R))) \\
&\qquad\quad + C_2\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \exp(-R)\, S(N_1(\mathcal{L}(\epsilon, 4\epsilon)\cap B_\rho(R+1)))\, S(B_\rho(R)\setminus B_\rho(R-1)).
\end{aligned}
$$

By first letting $R\to\infty$ and then $\epsilon\to 0$, the decay property of ρ implies that the right hand side tends to 0.

The second term on the right hand side of (4.2) can be estimated by

$$
\int_{M\setminus E} |\nabla\phi|^2\, g^2 \le 2\int_{M\setminus E} \chi^2\, |\nabla\psi|^2\, g^2 + 2\int_{M\setminus E} |\nabla\chi|^2\, \psi^2\, g^2,
$$

and these two terms on the right hand side can be estimated the same way as is (4.18). Hence this term will also tend to 0. In particular, we conclude that equality holds on (4.1). Moreover, the weight function ρ is given by the holomorphic bisectional curvature

$$
-\frac{2}{m}\rho\, |f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|^2 = R_{i\bar i j\bar j}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2,
$$

where λ_α are the eigenvalues of $(f_{\alpha\bar\beta})$ and hence must be smooth.

Note that equality of (4.1) can be written as

$$
\Delta|f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|^{\frac{m-1}{m}} = -\frac{4(m-1)}{m^2}\rho\, |f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|^{\frac{m-1}{m}}. \tag{4.22}
$$

Since $|f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|^{\frac{m-1}{m}}$ is nonnegative, regularity of the differential equation asserts that it must in fact be positive. Moreover, equality of (4.1) implies that inequalities (4.6), (4.7), and (4.8) in [L-W4] are all equalities. Equality for (4.6) in [L-W4] asserts that for each θ, there exists a constant $a_\theta\in\mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
a_\theta\lambda_\alpha = \partial_\theta f_{\alpha\bar\alpha} \tag{4.23}
$$

for all α. On the other hand, equality for (4.8) in [L-W4] implies that for each α

$$
\partial_\alpha f_{\theta\bar\theta} = \partial_\alpha f_{\eta\bar\eta} \tag{4.24}
$$

for all θ, $\eta \neq \alpha$. In particular, when $m \geq 3$, (4.23) and (4.24) imply that

$$a_\alpha \lambda_\theta = a_\alpha \lambda_\eta$$

for θ, $\eta \neq \alpha$. If $a_\alpha \neq 0$, this implies that $\lambda_\theta = \lambda_\eta$ for all θ, $\eta \neq \alpha$. Using $\sum_\beta \lambda_\beta = 0$, we conclude that

$$\lambda_\alpha = -(m-1)\lambda_\theta. \tag{4.25}$$

If there is another $\theta \neq \alpha$ such that $a_\theta \neq 0$, then the same argument implies that

$$\lambda_\theta = -(m-1)\lambda_\alpha$$

contradicting (4.25) unless $\lambda_\beta = 0$ for all β. This implies that $g = 0$ at that point, hence it must be identically 0, implying f is pluriharmonic and M has only one end.

At a fixed point $p \in M$, the only situations left are when either all $a_\alpha = 0$ or there exists exactly one $a_\alpha \neq 0$. When $a_\alpha = 0$ for all α, then (4.23) implies that $\partial_\alpha f_{\theta\bar\theta} = 0$ for all α and θ. This implies that

$$|\nabla |f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|^2|^2 = 0$$

at p. Since g is a non-trivial solution to the differential equation, we conclude that the set of regular points must be dense. Hence the set of points at which $a_\alpha \neq 0$ for exactly one α is dense in M. At such a point, let us assume $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \neq 1$. This implies that

$$\lambda_1 = -(m-1)\lambda_\alpha \tag{4.26}$$

and

$$\partial_\alpha f_{\theta\bar\theta} = 0 \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \alpha \neq 1. \tag{4.27}$$

Moreover, equality of (4.7) in [L-W4] asserts that for any θ,

$$\partial_\theta f_{\alpha\bar\beta} = 0 \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \alpha \neq \beta \text{ and } \theta \neq \beta. \tag{4.28}$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\beta f_{\alpha\bar\beta} &= \partial_\alpha f_{\beta\bar\beta} \\ &= 0 \qquad \text{if} \qquad \alpha \neq 1, \end{aligned}$$

together with (4.28), we conclude that

$$\partial_\theta f_{\alpha\bar\beta} = 0 \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \alpha \neq \beta \text{ and } \alpha \neq 1, \tag{4.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 f_{\theta\bar\beta} &= \partial_\theta f_{1\bar\beta} \\ &= 0 \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \beta \neq 1 \text{ and } \theta \neq \beta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

Also, taking the complex conjugate of (4.29) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\bar\theta}\bar f_{\alpha\bar\beta} &= \partial_\theta \overline{f_{\alpha\bar\beta}} \\ &= \partial_\theta f_{\beta\bar\alpha} \\ &= 0 \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \alpha \neq \beta \text{ and } \alpha \neq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

We conclude that

$$\nabla f_{\alpha\bar\beta} = 0 \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \alpha, \beta \neq 1 \text{ and } \alpha \neq \beta.$$

Moreover, the inequality for the curvature asserts that

$$R_{\bar\alpha\beta\bar\beta\alpha}(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)^2 \geq -\frac{\rho}{m^2}(\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)^2$$

with equality implying that

$$R_{\bar1\beta\bar\beta1} = -\frac{\rho}{m^2} \qquad \text{for all} \qquad \beta \neq 1 \tag{4.32}$$

since $\lambda_1 - \lambda_\beta \neq 0$ when $\beta \neq 1$.

Note that by continuity, the validity of (4.26) on a dense set of M implies that it is valid on all of M. In particular, by the non-vanishing of g, if e_1 is the (1,0)-vector that is the eigenvector for $(f_{\alpha\bar\beta})$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_1, then e_1 is globally defined up to multiplication by a complex number. The subspace S spanned by the other (1,0)-eigenvectors $\{e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$ is also globally defined over $\mathbb{C}$. In particular, (4.27) asserts that the function

$$\begin{aligned} g^2 &= |f_{\alpha\bar\beta}| \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{m}{m-1}}\,|\lambda_1| \end{aligned}$$

is constant in the directions given by S, hence S is tangent to the level set of g. Similarly, $\bar S$ spanned by $\{e_{\bar2}, \ldots, e_{\bar m}\}$ is also tangent to the level set of g. In particular, if we define $v = \frac{\nabla g}{|\nabla g|}$, then the $(1,0)$-vector given by $\frac{1}{2}(v - \sqrt{-1}Jv)$ must be a unitary multiple of e_1.

In any case, for $m \geq 2$, we conclude that

$$(f_{\alpha\bar\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\mu}{m-1} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{\mu}{m-1} & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & -\frac{\mu}{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.33}$$

where $|\mu|$ is a positive function with $|f_{\alpha\bar\beta}|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{m}{m-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} |\mu|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ satisfying the differential equation (4.22).

Note that the same estimate will hold for any (real) linear combination of such positive harmonic functions. Indeed, this is clear for the positive linear combination as the resulting harmonic function is still positive. Hence, the preceding argument works without any change. In the general case, for the sake of the simplicity of notations, we may assume the harmonic function $f = u - v$, where u and v are two positive harmonic functions constructed from parabolic ends E_1 and E_2 respectively. We need to argue that the right hand side of (4.2) tends to 0 by choosing the cut-off function ϕ. In the following, we let $\mathcal{L}(a, b)$ denote the set $\{x \in M \,|\, a \leq (u+v)(x) \leq b\}$. On the set $E_1 \cup E_2$, we define

$$\phi = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(0, 2T) \cap (E_1 \cup E_2) \\ T^{-1}(3T - u - v) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(2T, 3T) \cap (E_1 \cup E_2) \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(3T, \infty) \cap (E_1 \cup E_2). \end{cases}$$

On the remaining set $M \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)$, we choose $\phi = \psi\,\chi$, where

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(0, 2\epsilon) \\ \epsilon^{-1}(u + v - 2\epsilon) & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(2\epsilon, 3\epsilon) \\ 1 & \text{on} \quad \mathcal{L}(3\epsilon, \infty) \cap (M \setminus (E_1 \cup E_2)), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R-1) \\ R - r_\rho & \text{on} \quad B_\rho(R) \setminus B_\rho(R-1) \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad M \setminus B_\rho(R) \end{cases}$$

Now the preceding argument with slight modification again shows the right hand side of (4.2) goes to 0. Hence, the equality (4.22) also holds for f.

Let us consider the case when $m \geq 3$. For a fixed point $p \in M$, since the complex hessian of $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is of the form given by (2.33), where $\mu_f \neq 0$ is the unique eigenvalue with largest absolute value, we define the sets

$$\mathcal{H}^+ = \{f \in \mathcal{H} \,|\, \mu_f > 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^- = \{f \in \mathcal{H} \,|\, \mu_f < 0\}.$$

Obviously, both $\mathcal{H}^+$ and $\mathcal{H}^-$ are nonempty because $f \in \mathcal{H}^+$ implies that $-f \in \mathcal{H}^-$. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^+ \cap \mathcal{H}^- = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\} = \mathcal{H}^+ \cup \mathcal{H}^-$. This is only possible if $\dim \mathcal{H} = 1$, hence M has at most 2 ends.

When $m = 2$, the above argument is not valid since μ_f is not unique. However, we will show that M must have at most 4 ends in this case. Indeed, for a fixed point $p \in M$, let us define the map sending $f \in \mathcal{H}$ to the unitary eigenvector e_1 of $(f_{\alpha\bar{\beta}})$ corresponding to the unique positive eigenvalue $\mu > 0$. Since e_1 is defined only up to complex scalar multiplication and the map is invariant under positive scalar multiplication of f, it induces a map

$$F : \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1(T_pM)$$

where $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H})$ is the unit sphere of the vector space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathbb{CP}^1(T_pM)$ is the set of complex lines in the tangent space of M at p. If $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 4$, then $\dim \mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H}) \geq 3$. A simple dimension argument implies that the map F cannot be injective. Hence there exists two harmonic functions f_1 and f_2 with the same eigenvector with eigenvalues μ_1 and μ_2. After taking an appropriate linear combination of f_1 and f_2, we produce a non-trivial harmonic function in $\mathcal{H}$ with vanishing complex Hessian at p. This gives a contradiction, hence M cannot have more than 4 ends.

When M has exactly 4 ends and $\dim \mathcal{H} = 3$, the map F maps a 2-dimensional sphere $\mathbb{S}(\mathcal{H})$ injectively into $\mathbb{CP}^1(T_pM)$. Since $\mathbb{CP}^1$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$, this implies that F must be onto. In particular, any (1,0)-vector e_1 in T_pM can be realized as an eigenvector of the complex Hessian of some $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Using (4.32), we conclude that all holomorphic bisectional curvatures must be given by $-\rho$. Since the point p is arbitrary, this shows that

$$R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta\bar{\beta}\alpha}(x) = -\frac{\rho(x)}{4}$$

for all $\alpha \neq \beta$. □

REFERENCES

[**B-DR**] J. Berndt and J. C. Diaz-Ramos, *Homogeneous hypersurfaces in hyperbolic spaces*, arXiv:math. **DG/0612157**.

[**C**] S. Y. Cheng, *Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric application*, Math. Z. **143** (1975), 289–297.

[**C-Y**] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, *Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **28** (1975), 333–354.

[**J-Y**] J. Jost and S. T. Yau, *Harmonic maps and superrigidity*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **54** (1993), 245–279.

[**K-L-Z**] S. Kong, P. Li and D. Zhou, *Spectrum of the Laplacian on quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, preprint.

[**Lm**] K. H. Lam, UCI thesis (2007).

[**L**] P. Li, *On the structure of complete Kähler manifolds with nonnegative curvature near infinity*, Invent. Math. **99** (1990), 579–600.

[**L-T**] P. Li and L. F. Tam, *Harmonic functions and the structure of complete manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **35** (1992), 359–383.

[**L-W1**] P. Li and J. Wang, *Complete manifolds with positive spectrum*, J. Diff. Geom. **58** (2001), 501-534.

[**L-W2**] P. Li and J. Wang, *Complete manifolds with positive spectrum, II*, J. Diff. Geom. **62** (2002), 143–162.

[**L-W3**] P. Li and J. Wang, *Comparison theorem for Kähler manifolds and positivity of spectrum*, J. Diff. Geom. **69** (2005), 43–74.

[**L-W4**] P. Li and J. Wang, *Weighted Poincaré inequality and rigidity of complete manifolds* (to appearAnn. Sc. Ec. Norm. Sup.).

[**Ma**] Y. Matsushima, *On the first Betti number of compact quotient spaces of higher-dimensional symmetric spaces*, Ann. Math. **75** (1962), 312–330.

[**M**] O. Munteanu, *Two results on the weighted Poincaré inequality on complete Kähler manifolds*, preprint (2006).

[**N**] M. Nakai, *On Evans potential*, Proc. Japan Acad. **38** (1962), 624–629.

[**N-R**] T. Napier and M. Ramachandran, *Structure theorems for complete Kähler manifolds and applications to Lefschetz type theorems*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **5** (1995), 809–851.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE, CA 92697-3875

E-mail address: `pli@math.uci.edu`

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455
E-mail address: `jiaping@math.umn.edu`